



Pseudo Regular I-spaces and Pseudo Regular U-spaces

Swapan Kumar Das^{*1}, Subrata Majumdar²

¹Professor of Mathematics, University of Development Alternative (UODA),
House No. – 80, Satmasjid Road, Dhanmondi, Dhaka, Bangladesh

*Corresponding author Email: swapan.d@uoda.edu.bd

²Professor of Mathematics, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Email: subratamajumdar1729@gmail.com

DOI: [10.33329/bomsr.11.1.18](https://doi.org/10.33329/bomsr.11.1.18)



Swapan Kumar Das

ABSTRACT

In this paper Pseudo-regular I-spaces and Pseudo-regular U-spaces have been defined and a few important properties of these spaces have been proved.

Keywords: Pseudo regular I-spaces, Pseudo regular U-spaces

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54D10, 54A40, 54G12, 54D15, 54A05, 54C08.

I. INTRODUCTION

A topological space X is said to be **regular** if for each non-empty closed set F of X and any point $x \in X$, such that $x \notin F$ (i.e. x is the external point of F), there exist two disjoint open sets V and W such that $x \in V$ and $F \subseteq W$. In paper [1] a pseudo regular topological spaces has been defined by replacing a closed subset F by a compact subset K in the definition of a regular space. Here the concept of Pseudo regularity has been extended to I-spaces and U-spaces. These spaces were introduced and studied in [2] and [3]. In this paper we have given examples of pseudo regular I-spaces and pseudo regular U-spaces which are not regular. A number of important theorems regarding these spaces have been established.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We begin with some basic definitions and examples related to I- spaces and U- spaces.

I-Spaces

Definition 2.1: Let X be a non- empty set. A collection \mathcal{I} of subsets of X is called an **I- structure** on X if

(i) $X, \Phi \in \mathcal{I}$ and (ii) $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots, G_n \in \mathcal{I}$ implies $G_1 \cap G_2 \cap G_3 \cap \dots \cap G_n \in \mathcal{I}$. Then (X, \mathcal{I}) is called an **I-space**.

The members of \mathcal{I} are called **I-open** set and the complement of I- open set is called **I- closed** set.

Example 2.1: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\mathcal{I} = \{X, \Phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$.

Here (X, \mathcal{I}) is a **I- space but not a topological space and nor a U- space**.

Example 2.2: Let $X = R$, $\mathcal{I} =$ Finite unions of the sets in C , where $C = \{R, \Phi\} \cup \{[a, b] | a, b \in R\}$

Then \mathcal{I} is an I- structure on R . Thus (R, \mathcal{I}) is an **I- space**.

Definition 2.2: Let (X, \mathcal{I}) be an I – space. An **I- open cover** of subset K is a collection $\{G_\alpha\}$ of I- open sets such that $K \subseteq \bigcup_\alpha G_\alpha$.

Definition 2.3: An I-space X is said to be **I- compact** if for every I-open cover of X has a finite sub-cover.

A subset K of a I- space X is said to be **I- compact** if every I-open cover of K has finite sub- cover.

Thus, if (X, \mathcal{I}) be an I- space, and $A \subseteq X$, then A is said to be **I- compact** if for each $\{I_\alpha | I_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}\}$ such that A

$\subseteq \bigcup_\alpha I_\alpha$, there exist $I_{\alpha_1}, I_{\alpha_2}, \dots, I_{\alpha_n}$ such that $A \subseteq I_{\alpha_1} \cup I_{\alpha_2} \cup \dots \cup I_{\alpha_n}$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Example 2.3: K is I- compact if K contains only intersections of the form $[a, a] = \{a\}$, and these must be finite in number. Thus K must be a finite set.

For, let K be a compact subset of R , for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in R$ with $x \notin K$.

We know that $\mathcal{I} = \{R, \Phi\} \cup \{[a, b] | a, b \in R\}$. Since K is I- compact,

$K = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$, where $x_i \neq x_j, \forall i, j$, for some positive integer n .

If $K \subseteq R, K \supseteq [a, b]$, for some $a, b \in R, a < b$, then K is not compact, since $[a, b]$ is not so.

U-Spaces

Definition 2.4 A **U-structure** on a nonempty set X is a collection \mathcal{U} of subsets of X having the following properties:

- (i) Φ and X are in \mathcal{U} ,
- (ii) Any union of members of \mathcal{U} is in \mathcal{U} .

The ordered pair (X, \mathcal{U}) is called a **U-space**. A U-space which is not a topological space is called a **proper U-space**. The members of \mathcal{U} are called **U-open** set and the complement of a U-open set is called a **U- closed** set.

A U- structure and a U-space have been called a supra-topology and a supra-topological space respectively by some authors (see [4], [5], [6], [7])

In general we have

Topological space \Rightarrow U-space, / Topological space \Leftarrow U-space

Example 2.4: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U = \{X, \Phi, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Here (X, U) is a U-space but not a topological space.

Definition 2.5: A U-space X is said to be **U-compact** if for every U-open cover of X has a finite sub-cover.

A subset K of a U-space X is said to be **U-compact** if every U-open cover of K has finite sub-cover.

Example 2.5: Let $X = \mathbf{N}$, $U = \{2\mathbf{N}, 4\mathbf{N}, 8\mathbf{N}, 16\mathbf{N}, \dots, 2^n \mathbf{N}, \dots, \mathbf{N}, \Phi\}$. Then X is U-compact.

Let $\Phi \neq A \subseteq X$ and \mathbf{C} be a U open cover of A . Let n_0 be smallest +ve integer such that $2^{n_0} \mathbf{N} \in \mathbf{C}$.

Then $A \subseteq 2^{n_0} \mathbf{N}$. So $\{2^{n_0} \mathbf{N}\}$ is a finite sub-cover of \mathbf{C} . Therefore any subset K of X , $K \neq X$ is U-compact.

Definition 2.6: A U-space X is called **U-regular space** if for any U-closed set F of X and any point $x \in X$, such that $x \notin F$ (i.e. x is the external point of F) there exist two disjoint U-open sets G and H such that $x \in G$ and $F \subseteq H$.

For a U-space, 'Hausdorff' and regular are independent concepts.

Example of a U-space which is regular but not U-Hausdorff space

Example 2.6: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $U = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$. Then (X, U) is a U-space. Here the U-closed sets are $X, \phi, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}$. We easily see that X is a U-regular space but it is not U-Hausdorff space, since b and c cannot be separated by disjoint U-open sets. Also X is not a topological space.

III. PSEUDO REGULAR I - SPACES

Definition 3.1: An I-space X is said to be **pseudo regular** if for every I-compact subset K of X and for every $x \in X$, $x \notin K$, there exist two I-open sets $I_1, I_2 \in \mathbf{I}$ with $K \subseteq I_1, x \in I_2, I_1 \cap I_2 = \Phi$.

Example 3.1: (Example of an I-pseudo regular space)

Let $[x_1 - \alpha_1, x_1 + \alpha_1], [x_2 - \alpha_2, x_2 + \alpha_2], \dots, [x_n - \alpha_n, x_n + \alpha_n]$ be n closed intervals with each

$\alpha_l < \frac{1}{2}|x_i - x_j|$ and $\alpha_l < |x - x_i|$ for each l, j, i .

Let

$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \min\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$. Then $[x - \alpha, x + \alpha] \cap ([x_1 - \alpha_1, x_1 + \alpha_1] \cup \dots \cup [x_n - \alpha_n, x_n + \alpha_n]) = \Phi$

. Now $x \in [x - \alpha, x + \alpha], K \subseteq [x_1 - \alpha_1, x_1 + \alpha_1] \cup \dots \cup [x_n - \alpha_n, x_n + \alpha_n]$. Then (\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{I}) is pseudo regular.

Example 3.2: (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{I}) is I-pseudo regular but not I-regular.

Proof: We first show that for $a < b$, $[a, b]$ is not I-compact.

For this, we note that $\left\{ \left[a - \frac{1}{n}, b \right] \mid n \in \mathbf{N} \right\} \cup \{[a, a]\}$ is an I-cover of $[a, b]$, since

$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[a - \frac{1}{n}, b \right] = (a, b]$. However, it does not have a finite sub-cover. Hence $[a, b]$ is not I-compact.

Moreover, if $K \subseteq \mathbf{r}$ with $K \supseteq [a, b]$, then K is not compact.

For, if $\{[c_\alpha, d_\alpha] | \alpha \in A\}$ is an I-cover of $K - [a, b]$, then

$\{[c_\alpha, d_\alpha] | \alpha \in A\} \cup \left\{ \left[a - \frac{1}{n}, b | n \in \mathbb{N} \right] \right\} \cup \{[a, a]\}$ is an I-cover of K . Obviously, it does not have a

finite sub-cover. **Hence K is not I-compact.**

Thus, if K is a I-compact non-empty subset of \mathbb{R} , then K cannot contain any $[a, b]$, where $a < b$.

Hence K must be finite union of sets of the form $[a, a] = \{a\}$.

Let K be a non- empty compact set in X , and let $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$. Then, K may be written as

$K = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Here $x_i \in X, \forall i, x_i \neq x_j, \forall i, j$. Now let $x \neq x_i, \forall i$. Let $\delta = \min\{|x - x_i| | i=1,2,3, \dots, n\}$

Let $x_{i_0} = \min\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}, x_{i_1} = \max\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$

Case I: Let $x < x_{i_0}, \forall i$. Let $I_1 = \left[x - \frac{\delta}{3}, x + \frac{\delta}{3} \right], I_2 = \left[x_{i_0} - \frac{\delta}{3}, x_{i_1} + \frac{\delta}{3} \right]$. Then $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ and

$x \in I_1, K \subseteq I_2$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \Phi$.

Case II: Let $x_{i_0} < x < x_{i_1}$, for some i and j . Let x_{i_2} the largest of the x_i 's with $x_{i_2} < x$ and let x_{i_3} be the smallest of the x_i 's with $x < x_{i_3}$.

Let $I_1 = \left[x - \frac{\delta}{3}, x + \frac{\delta}{3} \right]$ and $I_2 = \left[x_{i_2} - \frac{\delta}{3}, x_{i_3} + \frac{\delta}{3} \right] \cup \left[x_{i_3} - \frac{\delta}{3}, x_{i_1} + \frac{\delta}{3} \right]$. Then $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$

and $x \in I_1, K \subseteq I_2$ and $I_1 \cap I_2 = \Phi$. **Thus (X, \mathcal{I}) is pseudo regular.**

But (X, \mathcal{I}) is not regular. Because $F = (-\infty, 1) \cup (2, \infty) = [1, 2]^c$ is an I-closed set in X , and $\frac{1}{2} \notin F$.

Then, x and F cannot be separated by disjoint I-open sets, since the only I-open set containing F is \mathbb{R}

which also contains $\frac{1}{2}$. **Therefore (X, \mathcal{I}) is I-pseudo regular but not I-regular.**

Example 3.3: Let $X = \mathbb{R} \cup \{i, 2i\}$, and \mathcal{I} = Usual topology \mathbf{U}_R on $\{\mathbb{R} \cup \{i, 2i\}, X, \Phi\}$.

I-closed sets in X : $\{F \cup \{i, 2i\} | F \text{ closed in } \mathbb{R} \text{ with respect to } \mathbf{U}_R\}$, and X, Φ .

Then, (X, \mathcal{I}) is I-regular but not I-pseudo-regular.

Proof: Let F_1 be a non- empty closed set in X . Let $x \in X - F_1$.

- (i) If $F_1 = \mathbb{R}$, then $x \notin F_1 \Rightarrow x = i$ (or $2i$). Then, \mathbb{R} and $\{i, 2i\}$ separate F_1 and i (or $2i$).
- (ii) If $F_1 = F \cup \{i, 2i\}$, for some closed set F in $\mathbb{R}, \Phi \neq F \neq \mathbb{R}$. Then $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x \notin F$. Since \mathbb{R} is regular w. r. t. \mathbf{U}_R , F and x can be separated by two disjoint I-open sets in \mathbb{R} , and hence open in X .
- (iii) If $F_1 = \{i, 2i\}$, i.e., $F = \Phi$, then $x \notin F$, implies $x \in \mathbb{R}$. So, $\{i, 2i\}$ and \mathbb{R} separate $\{i, 2i\}$ and x .
- (iv) If $F_1 = X$, i.e., $F = \mathbb{R}$, then there does not exist x such that $x \notin F_1$. Hence there is no question of separating x from F_1 . **Hence (X, \mathcal{I}) is I-regular.**

Now $K = [0, 1] \cup \{i\}$ is a I-compact subset of X , since $[0, 1]$ is a compact subset of the topological space \mathbb{R} w. r. t. \mathbf{U}_R . Now, $2i \notin K$. K and $2i$ cannot be separated by disjoint I-sets, since the only I-sets containing $2i$ are X and $\{i, 2i\}$, both of which contains i . Hence **(X, \mathcal{I}) is not I-pseudo-regular.**

Theorem 3.1: Every I-closed subset of a compact I-space is I-compact.

Proof: Let F be an I-closed subset of an I-space X . Let \mathcal{C} be an I-open cover of F .

Then $C_1 = C \cup \{X - F\}$ is an I- open cover of X, since X is I- compact. C_1 has a finite sub-cover, say, $\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}$. At most one of these, say, $I_{n_0} = X - F$. Then $\{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\} - \{I_{n_0}\}$ is a finite subcover of C. Hence F is I- compact.

Theorem 3.2: Every I- compact I- pseudo- regular I- space is I-regular.

Proof: Let X be a pseudo- regular I- space. Let F be a I- closed subset of X and let $x \in X$ with $x \notin F$ by Theorem – 2.1, F is I- compact. By the pseudo –regularity of X, F and x can be separated by disjoint I- open sets I_1 and I_2 . Hence X is I- regular.

Definition 3.2: An I- space (X, I) is called **Hausdorff** if for each pair of distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$, there are disjoint I- open sets I_1, I_2 in X such that $x_1 \in I_1, x_2 \in I_2$.

Theorem 3.3: Every I- compact subset K of a Hausdorff I- space X is I- closed.

Proof: Let X be a Hausdorff I- space, and let K be a I- compact subset of X. Let $x_0 \in X, x_0 \notin K$. Then, for each $y \in K$, there exist I- open sets $I_{x_0, y}, J_{x_0, y}$ such that $x_0 \in I_{x_0, y}, y \in J_{x_0, y}$ and

$$I_{x_0, y} \cap J_{x_0, y} = \Phi.$$

Then $I = \{J_{x_0, y} | y \in K\}$ is an I- open cover of K. Since K is I- compact, there exist

$\{J_{x_0, y_1}, J_{x_0, y_2}, \dots, J_{x_0, y_n}\}$, for some + ve integer n, such that

$$K \subseteq J_{x_0, y_1} \cup J_{x_0, y_2} \cup \dots \cup J_{x_0, y_n} = J_{x_0}.$$

Now, $x_0 \in I_{x_0, y}, \forall y \in K$. Hence $x_0 \in I_{x_0, y_1} \cap I_{x_0, y_2} \cap \dots \cap I_{x_0, y_n} = I_{x_0}$. which is an I- open set in

X. Also, $I_{x_0} \cap J_{x_0} = \Phi$. So, $I_{x_0} \subseteq K^c$. Thus, x_0 is an I- interior point of K^c . Since x_0 is arbitrary

point of K^c , this implies that K^c is I-open. Hence K is I-closed.

Theorem 3.4: Every I- Hausdorff I- regular space is I- pseudo- regular.

Proof: Let X be an I- Hausdorff I- regular I- space. Let K be an I-compact subset of X, and let $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$ by Theorem 2.3, K is I- closed. Since X is regular, K and x can be separated by disjoint I- open sets. Thus, X is I- pseudo- regular.

IV. PSEUDO REGULAR U - SPACES

Definition 4.1: A X U-space will be called **pseudo regular** if for every U- compact subset K of X and for every $x \in X, x \notin K$, there exist U- open sets G_1 and G_2 in X with $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$ such that $x \in G_1$ and $K \subseteq G_2$.

Example 4.1: Let $X = Z$, and The U- structure generated by

$$\{\{Z, \phi\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) | a \in Z\} \cup \{(b, \infty) | b \in Z\}\}$$

, $U = \{\{\{Z, \phi\} \cup \{(-\infty, a) | a \in Z\} \cup \{(b, \infty) | b \in Z\}\}\}$. Then X is pseudo regular U- space.

Proof: The subsets of X are:

$$(-\infty, a), a \in Z \dots \dots (1), [(b, \infty), b \in Z \dots \dots (2), [(c, d)], c, d \in Z \dots \dots (3).$$

The sets in (3) are finite, and so, compact.

If $A = (-\infty, a)$, for some $a \in Z$, then any U- open cover C of A must contain $(-\infty, a')$ where $a \leq a'$. Then $\{(-\infty, a')\}$ is a finite subcover of C. Thus, the sets in (1) are compact.

Similarly, we can show that the sets in (2) are compact.

Thus, every nonempty subset of X is compact.

Let K be a compact subset of X and $x \in X$ with $x \notin K$.

Case-I: If K is a set of the form (1) i.e., $K = (-\infty, a]$, for some $a \in Z$, then $x \in Z, x > a$,
($x \geq a$ if $K = (-\infty, a)$)

Let choose $G_1 = [(a + 1, \infty)$ if $K = (-\infty, a]$, $G_1 = [a, \infty)$ if $K = (-\infty, a)$, and $G_2 = (-\infty, a]$ if $K = (-\infty, a]$,
 $G_2 = (-\infty, a)$ if $K = (-\infty, a)$. Thus, in each case $x \in G_1, K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$.

Case- II: If K is a set of the form (2), i.e. $K = [(b, \infty)$, for some $b \in Z$, then $x \leq b$, or $x < b$, according as
 $K = (b, \infty)$, or $[b, \infty)$.

Let choose $G_1 = (-\infty, b]$, $G_2 = (b, \infty)$, if $K = (b, \infty)$; $G_1 = (-\infty, b)$, $G_2 = [b, \infty)$ if $K = [b, \infty)$

Then for each case, $x \in G_1, K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$.

Case- III: Now let $K = [(c, d)]$, for some $c, d \in Z$. Then, (i) $x \leq c$ and / or $x \geq d$, if $K = (c, d)$,
(ii) $x < c$, and / or $x \geq d$, if $K = [c, d)$, (iii) $x \leq c$, and / or $x > d$, if $K = (c, d]$, (iv) $x < c$, and / or $x > d$ if $K = [c, d]$

For (i), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c] \cup (d, \infty)$, $G_2 = (c, d)$, for (ii), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c) \cup [d, \infty)$, $G_2 = [c, d)$, for (iii), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c] \cup (d, \infty)$, $G_2 = (c, d]$, for (iv), we choose $G_1 = (-\infty, c) \cup (d, \infty)$,
 $G_2 = [c, d]$.

Then, for each case $x \in G_1, K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$. Thus, X is pseudo regular U -space.

Example 4.2: A U -space X may be regular but not pseudo regular.

Proof: Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $U = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a\}\}$.

Here closed sets are $\{c, d\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{b\}, X, \phi$. Then (X, U) is U -regular. For, $\{a, c\}$ is compact,
 $b \notin \{a, c\}$, but $\{a, c\}$ and b cannot be separated by disjoint U -open sets. Here (X, U) is not pseudo regular.

Example 4.3: A U -space X may not be regular but pseudo regular.

Proof: Let $X = R, U = \langle U_0 \cup \xi \rangle$, where U_0 is the usual U -structure on R , and
 $\xi = \{\{x_0\} \mid x_0 \in R - Q\}$, Q is closed, since $R - Q$ is U -open. But Q cannot be separated from any in
point, since the only U -open set containing Q is R . X is not regular. The compact sets of X are $(-\infty, a]$,
 $[b, \infty)$, $[a, b]$ such that $a, b \in R$. Any U -open cover C of $(-\infty, a]$ must contains a U -open set of the
form $(-\infty, a')$ where $a' > a$. Then $\{(-\infty, a')\}$ is a finite sub cover of C . So $(-\infty, a]$ is U -compact. Similarly,
 $[b, \infty)$ is U -compact. Then for each $a, b \in R$, for each $a < b$, $[a, b]$ is U -compact, by Theorem 3.4 of [N.S.S, 2014, On Hausdorff and compact U -spaces], since $[a, b] = [(-\infty, a] \cup [b, \infty)]^c$ is a closed and
is a subspaces.

Let K be any compact in X and let $x \in X, x \notin K$. Then K is of the form $(-\infty, a]$, or $[b, \infty)$, or $[a, b]$.

Case- I: Let $K = (-\infty, a]$. Then, $x > a$. Let $G_1 = \left(-\infty, \frac{x+a}{2}, \infty\right)$ and $G_2 = \left(-\infty, \frac{x+a}{2}\right)$. Then $x \in G_1, K \subseteq G_2$,
and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$.

Case- II: Let $K = [b, \infty)$, Then, $x < b$. We take $G_1 = \left(-\infty, \frac{b-x}{2}\right)$ and $G_2 = \left(\frac{b-x}{2}, \infty\right)$. Then $x \in G_1, K$
 $\subseteq G_2$, and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \phi$.

Case – III: Let $K = [a, b]$. Then either $x < a$ or $x > b$. If $x \leq a$, then we take $G_1 = \left(-\infty, \frac{x+a}{2}\right)$ and $G_2 = \left(\frac{x+a}{2}, \infty\right)$ and if $x > b$, we take $G_1 = \left(\frac{b+x}{2}, \infty\right)$ and $G_2 = \left(-\infty, \frac{b+x}{2}\right)$. Then, for both the cases $x \in G_1$, $K \subseteq G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. **Thus K is U- pseudo regular.**

Result and Discussion: It has been proved that for both U- spaces and I- spaces regularity does not imply pseudo regularity and also pseudo regularity does not imply regularity. i.e., Regularity and Pseudo regularity are independent concept. Some connection between regular and pseudo regular I- spaces and U- spaces have been established.

Conclusion: It is the beginning of study of pseudo regular I- spaces and U- spaces and will be continue later.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Biswas S.K., Akhter N. and Majumdar S. (2018), Pseudo Regular and , Pseudo Normal Topological Spaces, International Journal of Trend in Research and Development, Volume 5(1), ISSN 2394 – 9333, 426 – 430
- [2]. Akhter N., Das S. K. and Majumdar S. (2014), On Hausdorff and Compact U- spaces, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 5, No. 2, 168 – 182.
- [3]. Das S. K., Akhter N. and Majumdar S. (2014), Generalizations of Topological spaces, Bulletin of Mathematics and Statistics Research, Vol. 2. Issue. 4, 439 – 446.
- [4]. Andrijevic D. (1996), On b- open sets, Mat. Vesnik, 48, 59 – 64.
- [5]. Devi R., Sampathkumar S. and Caldas M. (2008), On supra α open sets and S_α - continuous functions, General Mathematics, Vol. 16, Nr. 2, 77 – 84.
- [6]. Mashhour A. S., et al. (April 1983), On supra topological spaces, Indian J. pure appl, Math, 14(4), 502-510.
- [7]. Sayed O. R. and Noiri T. (2007), On supra b- open sets and supra b – continuity on topological spaces, European Journal of pure and applied Mathematics, Vol. 3, No. 2, 295- 302.