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ABSTRACT
Various p-valent subclasses of meromorphic, complex, and integral operator functions are used to derive a class of inequalities in this communication. The distortion property for the identical function $M_{p}(\beta)$, is demonstrated by the inequality given for it.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Numerous well-known univalent functions, such as the starlike functions, the functions convex in one direction, the functions starlike concerning symmetrical points [5], and the functions with a derivative of positive real part in the unit circle, are included in the set of close-to-convex univalent functions introduced by Kaplan [2] and Umezawa [6]. But it doesn't have any spiral-shaped ones.

Ogawa [3] recently presented a broader adequate condition for univalence that also contains the weakest sufficient requirement for spiral-likeness, and it was simultaneously extended to the case of $p$-valence.

The class of functions $f(z)$ of the form $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \forall p \in N$ is denoted by $V(p)$. Which are analytical and multivalent in the open unit disc $\boldsymbol{D}=z:|z|<1$. Let $p$-valent convex functions and the well-known class of p -valent starlike functions, respectively, be denoted by $\epsilon_{p}$ and
$\omega_{p}$. For $f(z) \in V(p)$ as previously said and $g(z) \in V(p)$ as stated by $g(z)=z^{p}+$ $\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \forall p \in N,(f . g)(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n} \forall z \in \boldsymbol{D}$ gives the Hadamard product or convolution of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$.

A generalization of univalent functions is multivalent functions, particularly p-valent functions. The existence of a univalent mapping from one domain to another is one of the key issues in the study of univalent functions. Such a mapping must meet the requirement and have equal connectivity levels. This condition is likewise adequate, and the issue is reduced to the task of mapping a given domain onto a disc if and is simply connected to domains whose boundaries contain more than one point.

In this regard, the class of functions $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots, z \in D$ that are regular and univalent on the unit disc $\boldsymbol{D}=z:|z|<1$, normalized by the constraints $f(0)=0, f^{\prime}(0)=1$, and possessing the expansion play a special significance in the theory of univalent functions on simplyconnected domains.

When it comes to multiply-connected domains, it is examined how a specific multiplyconnected domain maps onto so-called canonical domains. P-valent functions, in particular, can be defined as follows:

Let $A_{\mathrm{p}}$ ( p is a positive integer) stand for the class of analytic functions in the unit disc $\boldsymbol{D}=$ $z:|z|<1$ of form $f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p} z^{n+p}$. If the function $f(z) \in A_{\mathrm{p}}$ assumes no value more than p times in $\boldsymbol{D}$, it is said to be p -valent in $\boldsymbol{D}$.

Lemma 1.1 [7] If $a, b$ and $c(c \neq 0,-1,-2, \ldots)$ be any real or complex numbers then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1}(1-s t)^{-a} d t=\frac{\Gamma b \Gamma(c-b)}{\Gamma c} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n}(b)_{n} z^{n}}{(c)_{n}} ; \operatorname{Re}(c)>\operatorname{Re}(b)>0 .
$$

Lemma 1.2 [4] Let $\omega(z)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ be the class of function. Then,

$$
\operatorname{Re}(\omega(z))-2 \gamma+1 \geq \frac{2(1-\gamma)}{1+|z|}, 0 \leq \gamma<1 ; z \in U
$$

Later, in 1980 Goel and Sohi [1] introduced a differential operator $D^{\delta+p-1}$ for $p$-valent analytic functions given by
$D^{\delta+p-1} f(z)=\frac{z}{(1-z)^{\delta+p}} \cdot f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(\delta) a_{n} z^{n}$ with $\delta>-p$ and $\varphi_{n}(\delta)=\frac{(\delta+p)_{n-p}}{(n-p)!}$.

## 2. OUR CLAIMS

Claim 2.1 If $M_{p}(\beta)$ is the subclass of, class of meromorphic $p$-valent function $\sum_{p}^{\prime}$ in the unit disc with $0<|z|<r \leq 1,0<\beta \leq p \forall p \in N$. Then demonstrate the inequality $r^{p}-r^{p} \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+$ $r^{p} \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta}$.

Proof: Taking an assumption that $f \in M_{p}(\beta)$ then

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left((n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)-\beta\right) a_{n+p} \leq p+\beta
$$

We achieve $\quad(p+\beta) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left((n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)-\beta\right) a_{n+p} \leq p+\beta \quad$ that means $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p} \leq \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta}$. Now, $|f(z)|=\left|z^{p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p^{2}}^{(n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}\right|$ and this implies that

$$
|f(z)| \leq|z|^{p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p}|z|^{(n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq r^{p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p} r^{(n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} \\
& \leq r^{p}+r^{p} \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Again, since $|f(z)|=\left|z^{p}+\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p^{z}} z^{(n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)}\right|$ and this implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|f(z)| & \geq|z|^{p}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p}|z|^{(n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} \\
& \geq r^{p}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n+p} r^{(n+p)\left(1-\frac{1}{\alpha}\right)} \\
& \geq r^{p}-r^{p} \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $r^{p}-r^{p} \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta} \leq|f(z)| \leq r^{p}+r^{p} \frac{p+\beta}{p-\beta}$ for $0 \leq|z| \leq 1$. Hence the proof is completed.
Claim 2.2 If $p(z)$ be analytic within unit disc $\boldsymbol{D}=z:|z|<1$ with $p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0, \forall z \in \boldsymbol{D}$ and $f \in u \Delta_{p}^{\epsilon}(c, \tau, a, b)$. Then demonstrate the inequality $\frac{\left|A_{k+p}\right|}{|\tau|} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \frac{|a-1| j \mid}{|a-1| j+|\tau| \eta \mid(c+p)}$

$$
\leq \frac{(c+p)|\eta|}{k|a-1| \psi_{k+p}(c)}
$$

Proof: On using the fact

$$
\operatorname{Re} e^{i \epsilon}\left(\frac{\tau D^{c+p-1} f(z)-2 D^{c+p-1} f(z)+2 D^{c+p} f(z)}{b D^{c+p-1} f(z)}\right)>\left(\frac{1-2 a+b}{1-a}\right) \cos \epsilon \forall z \in D
$$

Now, setting $p(z)$ by $e^{i \epsilon}\left(\frac{\tau D^{c+p-1} f(z)-2 D^{c+p-1} f(z)+2 D^{c+p} f(z)}{b D^{c+p-1} f(z)}\right)=\frac{1}{1-a}((1-\tau) p(z) \cos \epsilon+(b-$ a) $\cos \epsilon)+i \sin \epsilon$. Since, $p(z)$ is analytic in $D$ with $p(0)=1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z)>0, \forall z \in \boldsymbol{D}$. Let

$$
p(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n} \quad \forall z \in D
$$

Then, both equalities gives us

$$
\frac{\tau D^{c+p-1} f(z)-2 D^{c+p-1} f(z)+2 D^{c+p} f(z)}{b D^{c+p-1} f(z)}=\frac{((1-b) \cos \epsilon-i(a-1) \sin \epsilon) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}-e^{i \epsilon}(a-1)}{e^{i \epsilon}(a-1)}
$$

i.e. $\quad\left(D^{c+p-1}-D^{c+p}\right) f(z) e^{i \epsilon}(a-1)\left(\eta D^{c+p-1} f(z) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}\right)^{-1}=2^{-1} \tau$
i.e. $\frac{\eta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}}{\left(p D^{c+p-1} f(z)-z\left(D^{c+p-1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{2 e^{i \epsilon}(\alpha-1)}{b(c+p) D^{c+p-1} f(z)}$
i.e. $\frac{z^{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}}{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(K-p) \psi_{k}(c) z^{n} A_{k} z^{k}}+\frac{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \psi_{k}(c) A_{k} z^{k} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} z^{n}}{\sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty}(K-p) \psi_{k}(\delta) z^{n} A_{k} z^{k}}=\frac{2 e^{i \lambda}(\alpha-1)}{b(\delta+p) \eta}$

On comparing the coefficient of $z^{n+p-1}$ on both sides we achieve

$$
e^{i a} \frac{\psi_{n+p-1}(c)_{n+p-1}}{p_{1} A_{n+p-2} \psi_{n+p-2}(c)+\cdots+p_{n-1}}=\frac{\tau \eta}{2}\left\{\frac{c(1-a)^{-1}}{(n-1)}-\frac{p(a-1)^{-1}}{(n-1)}\right\}
$$

Taking absolute on both sides and applying the coefficient estimates we get,

$$
\frac{\left|A_{n+p-1}\right|}{1+\psi_{p+1}(c)\left|A_{p+1}\right|+\cdots+\psi_{n+p-2}(c)\left|A_{n+p-2}\right|} \leq \frac{|\tau|(c+p)|\eta|}{(n-1)\left|a-1 \psi_{n+p-2}(c)\right|} .
$$

Applying the same argument, then we get by mathematical induction $\frac{|\tau|}{\left|A_{p+1}\right|} \leq \frac{|a-1|}{|\eta|}$ which is valid for $n=2$, similarly $\frac{\left|A_{p+1}\right|}{|\tau|\left(1+\psi_{p+1}(c)\left|A_{p+1}\right|\right)} \leq \frac{|\eta|(c+p)}{2|a-1| \psi_{p+2}(c)}$ is valid for $n=3$.

Also, $\quad \frac{\left|A_{k+p-1}\right|}{|\tau|} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \frac{|a-1| j}{|a-1| j+|\tau| \eta \mid(c+p)} \leq \frac{|\eta|(c+p)}{(k-1)|a-1| \psi_{k+p-1}(c)} \quad$ is $\quad$ valid $\quad$ for $\quad n=k . \quad$ Taking, $\frac{\left|A_{k+p}\right|}{|\tau|} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \frac{|a-1| j}{|a-1| j+|\tau||\eta|(c+p)} \leq \frac{|\eta|(c+p)}{k|a-1| \psi_{k+p}(c)}\left\{\frac{|a-1|+|\tau||\eta|(c+p)}{|a-1|}+\frac{|\tau|(c+p)|\eta|}{2|a-1|} \cdot \frac{|a-1|+|\tau||\eta|(c+p)}{|a-1|}+\cdots\right.$
$\left.\ldots \frac{|\tau|(c+p)|\eta|}{(k-1)|1-a|}\right\}$. Which implies that $\frac{\left|A_{k+p}\right|}{|\tau|} \prod_{j=1}^{k-2} \frac{|a-1| j}{|a-1| j+|\tau||\eta|(c+p)} \leq \frac{(c+p)|\eta|}{k|a-1| \psi_{k+p}(c)}$ and this completes the proof.

Claim 2.3 If $b>0$ and $f_{k}(z) \in \sum_{p}$ then show that the inequality $\operatorname{Re}\left(\tau_{0}(z)\right)>$ $b \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{b \delta^{-1}-1}\left(2 c_{3}-1 \frac{2\left(1-c_{3}\right)}{1+u}\right) d x$.

Proof: Suppose that each of the functions $f_{k}(z) \in \sum_{p}$ for $k=1,2$ satisfies the condition $\tau_{k}(z)=$ $z^{p}\left[\delta P_{b, p}^{a-1} f_{k}(z)+(1-\delta) P_{b, p}^{a-1} f_{k}(z)\right]$ for $k=1,2$ we have $\tau_{k}(z) \in P\left(c_{k}\right)$ where $c^{-1}=\frac{1-Y_{k}}{1-X_{k}} ; k=$ 1, 2. Making use of the identity $P_{b, p}^{a-1} f_{k}(z)=\frac{b}{\delta} z^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} x^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \tau_{k}(x) d x$ for $k=1,2$. Now, taking the help of $J(z)=P_{b, p}^{a-1}\left(g_{1} \cdot g_{2}\right)(z)$ we get the following result $P_{b, p}^{a-1} f_{k}(z)$
$=\left(\frac{b}{\delta} z^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} x^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \tau_{1}(x) d x\right) \cdot\left(\frac{b}{\delta} z^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} x^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \tau_{2}(x) d x\right)$. Which leads us the result $P_{b, p}^{a-1} f_{k}(z)=b \delta^{-1} z^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \int_{0}^{z} x^{-\frac{b+\delta p}{\delta}} \tau_{0}(x) d x$ here $\tau_{0}(z)=z^{p}\left[\delta P_{b, p}^{a-1} J(z)+(1-\delta) P_{b, p}^{a-1} J(z)\right]$ and is equal to $z^{p}\left(\delta P_{b, p}^{a-1}+(1-\delta) P_{b, p}^{a-1}\right) J(z)=b \delta^{-1} z^{-b \delta^{-1}} \int_{0}^{z} x^{b \delta^{-1}-1}\left(\tau_{1} . \tau_{2}\right) d x$. But, $\tau_{1}(z) \in P\left(c_{1}\right)$ and $\tau_{2}(z) \in P\left(c_{2}\right)$ it follows that $\left(\tau_{1} \cdot \tau_{2}\right)(z) \in P\left(c_{3}\right)\left(c_{3}=1-2\left(1-\tau_{1}\right)\left(1-\tau_{2}\right)\right)$. Now, applying Lemma 1.2 we achieve $\operatorname{Re}\left(\tau_{1} . \tau_{2}\right)(z)-2 c_{3}+1 \geq \frac{2\left(1-c_{3}\right)}{1+|z|}$. Now, by using this inequality in the value of $\tau_{0}(z)$ and then applying the Lemma 1.1 we have $\operatorname{Re}\left(\tau_{0}(z)\right)=$ $b \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{b \delta^{-1}-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\tau_{1} . \tau_{2}\right)(z x) d x \geq b \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{b \delta^{-1}-1}\left(2 c_{3}-1 \frac{2\left(1-c_{3}\right)}{1+u|z|}\right) d x$ and we can also write $\operatorname{Re}\left(\tau_{0}(z)\right)>b \delta^{-1} \int_{0}^{1} x^{b \delta^{-1}-1}\left(2 c_{3}-1 \frac{2\left(1-c_{3}\right)}{1+u}\right) d x$ and this completes the proof of the theorem.
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