



 SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS OF EXPANSION MAPPING SATISFYING
IMPLICIT RELATION

RITU SAHU¹, P L SANODIA², ARVIND GUPTA³¹Department of Mathematics, People's College of Research & Technology, Bhopal, India²Department of Mathematics, Institute for Excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal, India³Department of Mathematics, Govt. Motilal Vigyan Mahavidhyalaya, Bhopal, India

RITU SAHU

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove some fixed point theorem for expansion mapping satisfying implicit relation.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 47H10 and 54H25.

Key words-Semi compatible mappings, Weak compatibles mappings, implicit relation, Common fixed point.

©KY PUBLICATIONS

1. INTRODUCTION

Wang, Li, Gao and Iseki [14] proved some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings which correspond to some contractive mappings. In a paper Rhoades [9] generalized the results for pairs of mapping. Some theorems on unique fixed point for expansion mapping are proved by Popa [6]. Popa [7] further extended results [6], [9] for compatible mappings

In 1999, Popa [8] proved some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation.

Let S and T be two self mappings of a metric space (X, d) . Sessa [10] defines S & T to be weakly commuting if $d(STx, TSx) \leq d(Tx, Sx)$ for all $x \in X$. Jungck [1] defines S and T to be compatible if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = x$ for some x in X inclearly, commuting mappings are weakly commuting and weakly commuting mappings are compatible, but implications are not reversible [11, Ex1] and [1, Ex 2.2].

Many authores have proved common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings for this we refer to Jungck [1],[2] and [3], Sessa, Rhoades and Khan [12], Kang, Cho and Jungck [4], Kang and Ray [5] and Sharma and Patidar [13].

In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for semi and weak compatible mapping in Metric spaces, satisfying an implicit relation.

Lemma 1.1-Let S and T be compatible self mappings on a metric space (X, d) . If

$$\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n \text{ then } \lim STx_n = \lim TSx_n.$$

Lemma 1.2-Let S and T are the self map of metric space (X, d) . Then pair (S, T) be semi compatible if $\lim Sx_n = \lim Tx_n = z$ then $\lim STx_n = Tz$.

Lemma 1.3- Let S and T are the self map of metric space (X, d) . Then pair (S, T) be weak compatible if $Tz = Sz \Rightarrow TSz = STz$.

2. Implicit Relations-

Let Φ be the set of all real continuous functions $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots, t_6): R_+^6 \rightarrow R$ satisfying the following conditions:

ϕ_1 : ϕ is non increasing in variable t_6 .

ϕ_2 : there exist $h > 1$ such that for every $u, v \geq 0$ with

$$(\phi_a): \phi\left(u, v, v, u, \frac{u+v}{2}, 0\right) \geq 0$$

$$(\phi_b): \phi\left(u, v, u, v, \frac{u+v}{2}, u+v\right) \geq 0$$

We have $v \geq hu$

ϕ_3 : $\phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$ For all $u > 0$.

Example 2.1- $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5, t_6) = t_2 - h \max\left(t_1, t_3, t_4, t_5, \frac{t_6}{2}\right)$ where $h > 1$

ϕ_1 : Obviously

ϕ_2 : Let $v > 0$

$$(\phi_a): \phi\left(u, v, v, u, \frac{u+v}{2}, 0\right) = v - h \max\left(u, v, u, \frac{u+v}{2}, 0\right) \geq 0$$

If $u \leq v$ then $v - hv \geq 0$

$v \geq hv > v$ for $h > 1 \Rightarrow v > v$, which is contradiction, thus $u > v$

Therefore we will have $v - hu \geq 0 \Rightarrow v \geq hu$.

$$(\phi_b): \phi\left(u, v, u, v, \frac{u+v}{2}, u+v\right) = v - h \max\left(u, u, v, \frac{u+v}{2}, u+v\right) \geq 0$$

If $v > 0$ and $u > v$ then

$$v - h(u+v) \geq 0$$

$$v - hu - hv \geq 0$$

$$v - hu \geq 0 \Rightarrow v \geq hu$$

$$\phi_3: \phi\left(u, u, 0, 0, 0, \frac{u}{2}\right) = u - h \max\left(u, 0, 0, 0, \frac{u}{2}\right) < 0$$

$$\text{for } u > v: \quad = u - hu < 0$$

$$= u(1-h) < 0$$

Since $h > 1 \therefore u > 0$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1- Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and A & B be self maps of Metric space satisfying

$$(a) \quad A(X) \subset B(X)$$

$$(b) \quad \phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(Ax, Ay), d(Bx, By), d(Ax, Bx), d(Ay, By), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax, Bx) + d(Ay, By)\}, d(Ax, By) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

For all $x, y \in X$ & $\phi \in \Phi$

(c) Either A or B is continuous.

(d) (A, B) is semi compatible and weak compatible

Then A & B have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof- Let $x_0 \in X$ and $A(X) \subset B(X)$ then there exist a point $x_1 \in X$ such that $Bx_1 = Ax_0 = y_0$.

Inductively we can define a sequence $Bx_{n+1} = Ax_n = y_n$.

Step (1) - By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}), d(Bx_n, Bx_{n+1}), d(Ax_n, Bx_n), d(Ax_{n+1}, Bx_{n+1}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Bx_n) + d(Ax_{n+1}, Bx_{n+1})\}, d(Ax_n, Bx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n)\}, d(y_n, y_n) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n)\}, 0 \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

By $(\phi_a), v \geq hu$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \geq h d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h} (y_{n-1}, y_n) \dots (1)$$

Again by using (b) with $x = x_n, y = x_{n-1}$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(Ax_n, Ax_{n-1}), d(Bx_n, Bx_{n-1}), d(Ax_n, Bx_n), d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_{n-1}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Bx_n) + d(Ax_{n-1}, Bx_{n-1})\}, d(Ax_n, Bx_{n-1}) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\}, d(y_n, y_{n-2}) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

Since by triangular inequality we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n-2}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \text{ Therefore}$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\}, \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\} \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

By $(\phi_b), v \geq hu$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \geq h d(y_n, y_{n-1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{h} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \dots (2)$$

By equation (1) and (2)

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h^2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})$$

Similarly it can be found

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h^n} d(y_1, y_0)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \varepsilon \text{ where } \varepsilon > 0$$

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete therefore $\{y_n\} \rightarrow z$, and then all of its subsequence also converges to z .

Therefore

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim Bx_{n+1} = z.$$

Step (2) - A is continuous

Since

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim Bx_n = z$$

$$\therefore \lim AAx_n = Az \text{ \& } \lim ABx_n = Az$$

Also pair (A, B) is semi compatible then, $\lim BAx_n = Az$.

Step (3) -By using (b) with $x = Ax_n, y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AAx_n, Ax_n), d(BAx_n, Bx_n), d(AAx_n, BAx_n), d(Ax_n, Bx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AAx_n, BAx_n) + d(Ax_n, Bx_n)\}, d(AAx_n, Bx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, z), d(Az, z), d(Az, Az), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, Az) + d(z, z)\}, d(Az, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Az, z), d(Az, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Az, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Az, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Az = z$

Step (4) -Since $A(X) \subset B(X)$. Then there exist a point $w \in X$ such that $Az = Bw = z$.

By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = w$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Aw), d(Bx_n, Bw), d(Ax_n, Bx_n), d(Aw, Bw), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Bx_n) + d(Aw, Bw)\}, d(Ax_n, Bw) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Aw), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Aw, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Aw, z)\}, d(z, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Aw), 0, 0, d(Aw, z), \frac{1}{2} d(Aw, z), 0] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) we have $v \geq hu$

$0 \geq h d(z, Aw)$ Since $h > 1$ therefore $d(z, Aw) = 0 \Rightarrow Aw = z$, and so $Aw = Bw$

Since (A, B) is weak compatible, therefore $\therefore ABw = BAw \Rightarrow Az = Bz = z$

Therefore z is a common fixed point of A & B .

Step (5)- B is continuous

Since $\lim Ax_n = z$, $\lim Bx_n = z$, therefore

$$\lim BAx_n = Bz, \lim BBx_n = Bz$$

Again pair (A, B) is semi compatible then $\lim ABx_n = Bz$

By using (b) with $x = Bx_n$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(ABx_n, Ax_n), d(BBx_n, Bx_n), d(ABx_n, BBx_n), d(Ax_n, Bx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(ABx_n, BBx_n) + d(Ax_n, Bx_n)\}, d(ABx_n, Bx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(Bz, z), d(Bz, z), d(Bz, Bz), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Bz, Bz) + d(z, z)\}, d(Bz, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Bz, z), d(Bz, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Bz, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Bz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Bz = z$

Step (6)- By using (b) with $x = x_n$, $y = z$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Az), d(Bx_n, Bz), d(Ax_n, Bx_n), d(Az, Bz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Bx_n) + d(Az, Bz)\}, d(Ax_n, Bz) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Az), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Az, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Az, z)\}, d(z, Bz) \right] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) we have, $0 \geq h d(z, Az)$

Since $h > 1$ therefore $d(z, Az) = 0 \Rightarrow Az = z$

Or $Az = Bz = z$. Therefore z is a common fixed point of A & B .

Uniqueness- Let u is another fixed point of A & B , therefore $Au = Bu = u$.

Then by using (b) with $x = u$ & $y = z$,

$$\phi \left[d(Au, Az), d(Bu, Bz), d(Au, Bu), d(Az, Bz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Au, Bu) + d(Az, Bz)\}, d(Au, Bz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(u, z), d(u, z), d(u, u), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(u, u) + d(z, z)\}, d(u, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi[d(u, z), d(u, z), 0, 0, 0, d(u, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(u, z) = 0 \Rightarrow u = z$.

Therefore z is unique common fixed point of A & B .

Corollary 3.2- Let T, F and S be self mapping of metric space (X, d) with

$$(a) T(X) \dot{\cap} F(X), S(X) \dot{\cap} F(X)$$

$$(b) y \dot{\in} d(Tx, Sy) \dot{\cup}^3 y \dot{\in} d(Tx, Fx) + d(Tx, Fy) \dot{\cup} + f \dot{\in} d(Tx, Sy) \dot{\cup}$$

(c) Either T or F is continuous function.

(d) (T, F) is semi compatible and weak compatible.

$$(e) TS = ST, FS = SF$$

If y and f are monotonic increasing function such that

$y, f: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and $y(t) = f(t) = 0 \iff t = 0$, then z is unique common fixed point of F and T .

Theorem 3.3- Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and A, B, G & F be self maps of Metric space satisfying

$$(a) A(X) \subset B(X), F(X) \subset G(X)$$

$$(b) \phi \left[d(Ax, Fy), d(Gx, By), d(Ax, Gx), d(Fy, By), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(Ax, Gx) + d(Fy, By) \}, d(Ax, By) \right] \geq 0$$

For all $x, y \in X$ & $\phi \in \Phi$

(c) Either A or G is continuous.

(d) (A, G) is semi compatible and (F, B) weak compatible

$$(e) FG = GF \text{ \& } BG = GB$$

Then A, B, F & G have a unique fixed point in X .

Proof- Let $x_0 \in X$ and $A(X) \subset B(X)$ and $F(X) \subset G(X)$ then there exist a point $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such

that $Bx_1 = Ax_0 = y_0$ & $Gx_2 = Fx_1 = y_1$. Inductively we can define a

sequence $Bx_{n+1} = Ax_n = y_n$ & $Gx_{n+2} = Fx_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$.

Step (1) - By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fx_{n+1}), d(Gx_n, Bx_{n+1}), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(Fx_{n+1}, Bx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(Fx_{n+1}, Bx_{n+1}) \}, d(Ax_n, Bx_{n+1}) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \}, d(y_n, y_n) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), \frac{1}{2} \{ d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n) \}, 0 \right] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) , $v \geq hu$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \geq h d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h} (y_{n-1}, y_n) \dots (1)$$

Again by using (b) with $x = x_n$, $y = x_{n-1}$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fx_{n-1}), d(Gx_n, Bx_{n-1}), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(Fx_{n-1}, Bx_{n-1}), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(Fx_{n-1}, Bx_{n-1})\}, d(Ax_n, Bx_{n-1}) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\}, d(y_n, y_{n-2}) \right] \geq 0$$

Since by triangular inequality we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n-2}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \text{ Therefore}$$

$$\phi \left[d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\}, \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\} \right] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_b) , $v \geq hu$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \geq h d(y_n, y_{n-1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{h} (y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \dots (2)$$

By equation (1) and (2)

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h^2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})$$

Similarly it can be found

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h^n} d(y_1, y_0)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \varepsilon \text{ where } \varepsilon > 0$$

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete therefore $\{y_n\} \rightarrow z$, and then all of its subsequence also converges to z .

Therefore

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim Fx_{n+1} = z, \lim Bx_{n+1} = z \text{ \& } \lim Gx_{n+2} = z.$$

Step (2) - A is continuous

Since

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim Gx_n = z$$

$$\therefore \lim AAx_n = Az \text{ \& } \lim AGx_n = Az$$

Also pair (A, G) is semi compatible then, $\lim GAx_n = Az$.

Step (3) -By using (b) with $x = Ax_n$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AAx_n, Fx_n), d(GAx_n, Bx_n), d(AAx_n, GAx_n), d(Fx_n, Bx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AAx_n, GAx_n) + d(Fx_n, Bx_n)\}, d(AAx_n, Bx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, z), d(Az, z), d(Az, Az), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, Az) + d(z, z)\}, d(Az, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Az, z), d(Az, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Az, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Az, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Az = z$

Step (4)–Since $A(X) \subset B(X)$. Then there exist a point $w \in X$ such that $Az = Bw = z$.

By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = w$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fw), d(Gx_n, Bw), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(Fw, Bw), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(Fw, Bw)\}, d(Ax_n, Bw) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fw), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Fw, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fw, z)\}, d(z, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Fw), 0, 0, d(Fw, z), \frac{1}{2}d(Fw, z), 0] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) we have $v \geq hu$

$0 \geq h d(z, Fw)$ Since $h > 1$ therefore $d(z, Fw) = 0 \Rightarrow Fw = z$.

Since (F, B) is weak compatible, therefore

$$Fw = Bw$$

$$\therefore FBw = BFw$$

$$\text{or } Fz = Bz$$

Step5-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ & $y = z$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fz), d(Gx_n, Bz), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(Fz, Bz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(Fz, Bz)\}, d(Ax_n, Bz) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), d(z, z), d(Fz, Fz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fz, Fz)\}, d(z, Fz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Fz)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Fz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Fz = z$

$$Bz = Fz = z$$

Step6-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ & $y = Gz$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, FGz), d(Gx_n, BGz), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(FGz, BGz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(FGz, BGz)\}, d(Ax_n, BGz) \right] \geq 0$$

Since $FG = GF$ & $BG = GB$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, GFz), d(z, GBz), d(z, z), d(GFz, GBz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(GFz, GBz)\}, d(z, GBz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Gz), d(z, Gz), d(z, z), d(Gz, Gz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Gz, Gz)\}, d(z, Gz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Gz), d(z, Gz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Gz)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Gz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Gz = z$

z is a common fixed point of A, B, F & G .

Step (7)- G is continuous

Since $\lim Ax_n = z$, $\lim Gx_n = z$, therefore

$$\lim GAx_n = Gz, \lim GGx_n = Gz$$

Again pair (A, G) is semi compatible then $\lim AGx_n = Gz$

Step (8)- By using (b) with $x = Gx_n$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AGx_n, Fx_n), d(GGx_n, Bx_n), d(AGx_n, GGx_n), d(Fx_n, Bx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AGx_n, GGx_n) + d(Fx_n, Bx_n)\}, d(AGx_n, Bx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(Gz, z), d(Gz, z), d(Gz, Gz), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Gz, Gz) + d(z, z)\}, d(Gz, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Gz, z), d(Gz, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Gz, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Gz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Gz = z$

Step (9)- By using (b) with $x = z$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, Fx_n), d(Gz, Bx_n), d(Az, Gz), d(Fx_n, Bx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, Gz) + d(Fx_n, Bx_n)\}, d(Az, Bx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, z), d(z, z), d(Az, z), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, z) + d(z, z)\}, d(Az, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Az, z), 0, d(Az, z), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Az, z), d(Az, z)] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_b) we have $v \geq hu$

$$0 \geq h d(Az, z)$$

Since $h > 1$ therefore $d(Az, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Az = z$

Step (10) – Since $A(X) \subset B(X)$. Then there exist a point $w \in X$ such that $Az = Bw = z$.

By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = w$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fw), d(Gx_n, Bw), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(Fw, Bw), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(Fw, Bw)\}, d(Ax_n, Bw) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fw), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Fw, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fw, z)\}, d(z, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fw), 0, 0, d(Fw, z), \frac{1}{2} d(Fw, z), 0 \right] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) we have $v \geq hu$

$$0 \geq h d(z, Fw) \text{ Since } h > 1 \text{ therefore } d(z, Fw) = 0 \Rightarrow Fw = z \text{ and so } Bw = Fw.$$

Since (F, B) is weak compatible, therefore $FBw = BFw \Rightarrow Fz = Bz = z$

Step(11)-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ & $y = z$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fz), d(Gx_n, Bz), d(Ax_n, Gx_n), d(Fz, Bz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, Gx_n) + d(Fz, Bz)\}, d(Ax_n, Bz) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), d(z, z), d(Fz, Fz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fz, Fz)\}, d(z, Fz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Fz) \right] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Fz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Fz = z$

$$Bz = Fz = z$$

Therefore $Az = Bz = Fz = Gz = z$.

Or z is a unique common fixed point of A, B, F & G .

Uniqueness can be easily proved.

Theorem3.4- Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and A, B, F, G, H & E be self maps of Metric space satisfying

$$(a) A(X) \subset BH(X), F(X) \subset GE(X)$$

$$(b) \phi \left[d(Ax, Fy), d(GEx, BHy), d(Ax, GEx), d(Fy, BHy), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax, GEx) + d(Fy, BHy)\}, d(Ax, BHy) \right] \geq 0$$

For all $x, y \in X$ & $\phi \in \Phi$

- (c) One of the (A, GE) is continuous.
 (d) (A, GE) is semi compatible and weak compatible.
 (e) (BH, F) is weak compatible
 (f) $FH = HF, BH = HB, AE = EA \& GE = EG$

Then $A, B, F, G, H \& E$ have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof-Let $x_0 \in X$ and $A(X) \subset BH(X)$ and $F(X) \subset GE(X)$ then there exist a point $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $BHx_1 = Ax_0 = y_0$ & $GE x_2 = Fx_1 = y_1$. Inductively we can define a sequence $BHx_{n+1} = Ax_n = y_n$ & $GE x_{n+2} = Fx_{n+1} = y_{n+1}$.

Step (1) - By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = x_{n+1}$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(Ax_n, Fx_{n+1}), d(GEx_n, BHx_{n+1}), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(Fx_{n+1}, BHx_{n+1}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(Fx_{n+1}, BHx_{n+1})\}, d(Ax_n, BHx_{n+1}) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n)\}, d(y_n, y_n) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_n)\}, 0 \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

By $(\phi_a), v \geq hu$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_n) \geq h d(y_n, y_{n+1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h} (y_{n-1}, y_n) \dots (1)$$

Again by using (b) with $x = x_n, y = x_{n-1}$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(Ax_n, Fx_{n-1}), d(GEx_n, BHx_{n-1}), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(Fx_{n-1}, BHx_{n-1}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(Fx_{n-1}, BHx_{n-1})\}, d(Ax_n, BHx_{n-1}) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\}, d(y_n, y_{n-2}) \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

Since by triangular inequality we have

$$d(y_n, y_{n-2}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \text{ Therefore}$$

$$\phi \left[\begin{array}{l} d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}), \\ \frac{1}{2} \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\}, \{d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})\} \end{array} \right] \geq 0$$

By $(\phi_b), v \geq hu$

$$d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \geq h d(y_n, y_{n-1})$$

$$d(y_n, y_{n-1}) \leq \frac{1}{h} (y_{n-1}, y_{n-2}) \dots (2)$$

By equation (1) and (2)

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h^2} d(y_{n-1}, y_{n-2})$$

Similarly it can be found

$$d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1}{h^n} d(y_1, y_0)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) < \varepsilon \text{ where } \varepsilon > 0$$

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Since X is complete therefore $\{y_n\} \rightarrow z$, and then all of its subsequence also converges to z .

Therefore

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim Fx_{n+1} = z, \lim BHx_{n+1} = z \text{ \& } \lim GEx_{n+2} = z.$$

Step (2) - A is continuous

Since

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim GEx_n = z$$

$$\therefore \lim AAx_n = Az \text{ \& } \lim AGE_x_n = Az$$

Also pair (A, GE) is semi compatible then, $\lim GEAx_n = Az$.

Step (3) -By using (b) with $x = Ax_n, y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AAx_n, Fx_n), d(GEAx_n, BHx_n), d(AAx_n, GEAx_n), d(Fx_n, BHx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AAx_n, GEAx_n) + d(Fx_n, BHx_n)\}, d(AAx_n, BHx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, z), d(Az, z), d(Az, Az), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, Az) + d(z, z)\}, d(Az, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Az, z), d(Az, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Az, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Az, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Az = z$

Step (4) -Since $A(X) \subset BH(X)$. Then there exist a point $w \in X$ such that $Az = BHw = z$.

By using (b) with $x = x_n, y = w$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fw), d(GEx_n, BHw), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(Fw, BHw), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(Fw, BHw)\}, d(Ax_n, BHw) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fw), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Fw, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fw, z)\}, d(z, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Fw), 0, 0, d(Fw, z), \frac{1}{2}d(Fw, z), 0] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) we have $v \geq hu$

$$0 \geq h d(z, Fw) \text{ Since } h > 1 \text{ therefore } d(z, Fw) = 0 \Rightarrow Fw = z \text{ and so } Fw = BHw$$

Since (F, BH) is weak compatible, therefore $FBHw = BHFw \Rightarrow Fz = BHz$

Step5-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ \& $y = z$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fz), d(GEx_n, BH_z), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(Fz, BH_z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(Fz, BH_z)\}, d(Ax_n, BH_z) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), d(z, z), d(Fz, Fz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fz, Fz)\}, d(z, Fz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Fz)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Fz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Fz = z$

Step6-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ & $y = Hz$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, FH_z), d(GEx_n, BHH_z), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(FH_z, BHH_z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(FH_z, BHH_z)\}, d(Ax_n, BHH_z) \right] \geq 0$$

Since $FH = HF$ & $BH = HB$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, HFz), d(z, HBH_z), d(z, z), d(HFz, HBH_z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(HFz, HBH_z)\}, d(z, HBH_z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Hz), d(z, Hz), d(z, z), d(Hz, Hz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Hz, Hz)\}, d(z, Hz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Hz), d(z, Hz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Hz)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Hz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Hz = z$

Since $BH_z = z \Rightarrow Bz = z$

Therefore $Fz = Bz = z$

Step (7) –Since $F(X) \subset GE(X)$. Then there exist a point $w \in X$ such that $Fz = GEw = z$.

By using (b) with $x = w, y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(Aw, Fx_n), d(GEw, BHx_n), d(Aw, GEw), d(Fx_n, BHx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Aw, GEw) + d(Fx_n, BHx_n)\}, d(Aw, BHx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(Aw, z), d(z, z), d(Aw, z), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Aw, z) + d(z, z)\}, d(Aw, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Aw, z), 0, d(Aw, z), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Aw, z), d(Aw, z)] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_b) we have $v \geq hu$

$0 \geq h d(Aw, z)$ Since $h > 1$ therefore $d(Aw, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Aw = z$ and so $Aw = GEw$

Since (A, GE) is weak compatible therefore $AGEw = GEAw \Rightarrow Az = GEz = z$

Step (8)-By using (b) with $x = Ez$ & $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AEz, Fx_n), d(GEEz, BHx_n), d(AEz, GEEz), d(Fx_n, BHx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AEz, GEEz) + d(Fx_n, BHx_n)\}, d(AEz, BHx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Since $AE = EA$ & $GE = EG$

$$\phi \left[d(EAz, z), d(EGEz, z), d(EAz, EGEz), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(EAz, EGEz) + d(z, z)\}, d(EAz, z) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(Ez, z), d(Ez, z), d(Ez, Ez), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ez, Ez) + d(z, z)\}, d(Ez, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(Ez, z), d(Ez, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Ez, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Ez, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Ez = z$

Since $GEz = z \Rightarrow Gz = z$

Therefore $Az = Bz = Fz = Gz = Hz = Ez = z$

Therefore z is a common fixed point of all six maps.

Step (9)- GE is continuous

Since

$$\lim Ax_n = z, \lim GEx_n = z$$

$$\therefore \lim GEAx_n = GEz \text{ \& } \lim GEGEx_n = GEz$$

Also pair (A, GE) is semi compatible then, $\lim AGEx_n = GEz$.

Step (10) -By using (b) with $x = GEx_n$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AGEx_n, Fx_n), d(GEGEx_n, BHx_n), d(AGEx_n, GEGEx_n), d(Fx_n, BHx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AGEx_n, GEGEx_n) + d(Fx_n, BHx_n)\}, d(AGEx_n, BHx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(GEz, z), d(GEz, z), d(GEz, GEz), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(GEz, GEz) + d(z, z)\}, d(GEz, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(GEz, z), d(GEz, z), 0, 0, 0, d(GEz, z)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(GEz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow GEz = z$

Step (11) -By using (b) with $x = z$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, Fx_n), d(GEz, BHx_n), d(Az, GEz), d(Fx_n, BHx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, GEz) + d(Fx_n, BHx_n)\}, d(Az, BHx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, z), d(z, z), d(Az, z), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Az, z) + d(z, z)\}, d(Az, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(Az, z), 0, d(Az, z), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Az, z), d(Az, z) \right] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_a) , $v \geq hu$ we have

$$0 \geq h d(Az, z)$$

Since $h > 1$ therefore $d(Az, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Az = z$

Step (12) -By using (b) with $x = Ez$, $y = x_n$

$$\phi \left[d(AEz, Fx_n), d(GEEz, BHx_n), d(AEz, GEEz), d(Fx_n, BHx_n), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(AEz, GEEz) + d(Fx_n, BHx_n)\}, d(AEz, BHx_n) \right] \geq 0$$

Since $AE = EA$ & $GE = EG$ also taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(EAz, z), d(EGEz, z), d(EAz, EGEz), d(z, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(EAz, EGEz) + d(z, z)\}, d(EAz, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(Ez, z), d(Ez, z), d(Ez, Ez), 0, \frac{1}{2} d(Ez, Ez), d(Ez, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(Ez, z), d(Ez, z), 0, 0, 0, d(Ez, z) \right] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Ez, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Ez = z$

Since $GEz = z \Rightarrow Gz = z$

Step (13) -Since $A(X) \subset BH(X)$. Then there exist a point $w \in X$ such that $Az = BHw = z$.

By using (b) with $x = x_n$, $y = w$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fw), d(GEx_n, BHw), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(Fw, BHw), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(Fw, BHw)\}, d(Ax_n, BHw) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fw), d(z, z), d(z, z), d(Fw, z), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fw, z)\}, d(z, z) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fw), 0, 0, d(Fw, z), \frac{1}{2} d(Fw, z), 0 \right] \geq 0$$

By (ϕ_b) we have $v \geq hu$

$$0 \geq h d(Fw, z) \text{ Since } h > 1 \therefore d(Fw, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Fw = z \text{ and so } Fw = BHw.$$

Since (BH, F) is weak compatible, therefore $BHFw = FBHw \Rightarrow BHw = Fz = z$.

Step(14)-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ & $y = z$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, Fz), d(GEx_n, BHz), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(Fz, BHz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(Fz, BHz)\}, d(Ax_n, BHz) \right] \geq 0$$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), d(z, z), d(Fz, Fz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Fz, Fz)\}, d(z, Fz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Fz), d(z, Fz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Fz)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Fz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Fz = z$

Step(16)-By using (b) with $x = x_n$ & $y = Hz$

$$\phi \left[d(Ax_n, FHz), d(GEx_n, BHHz), d(Ax_n, GEx_n), d(FHz, BHHz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(Ax_n, GEx_n) + d(FHz, BHHz)\}, d(Ax_n, BHHz) \right] \geq 0$$

Since $FH = HF$ & $BH = HB$

Taking $\lim n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\phi \left[d(z, HFz), d(z, HBHz), d(z, z), d(HFz, HBHz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(HFz, HBHz)\}, d(z, HBHz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi \left[d(z, Hz), d(z, Hz), d(z, z), d(Hz, Hz), \right. \\ \left. \frac{1}{2} \{d(z, z) + d(Hz, Hz)\}, d(z, Hz) \right] \geq 0$$

$$\phi [d(z, Hz), d(z, Hz), 0, 0, 0, d(z, Hz)] \geq 0$$

Which is contradiction of $\phi_3: \phi(u, u, 0, 0, 0, u) < 0$

It is only possible when $u = 0$ or $d(Hz, z) = 0 \Rightarrow Hz = z$

Since $BHz = z \Rightarrow Bz = z$

Therefore $Fz = Bz = z$

Or $Az = Bz = Fz = Gz = Hz = Ez = z$

Therefore z is a common fixed point of all six maps.

Uniqueness can be easily proved.

REFERENCE

- [1]. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.9(1986), 771-779.
- [2]. G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points (2), Internat J. Math. And Math. Sci.11(1988),285-288.
- [3]. G. Jungck, Common fixed points for commuting and compatible maps on compacta, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103(1988), 977-983.
- [4]. S. M. Kang, Y. J. Cho and G. Jungck, Common fixed point of compatible mappings, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci. 13 (1990), 61-66.
- [5]. S. M. Kang and J. W. Rye, A common fixed point theorem for compatible mappings, Math. Japonica 35(1990), 153-157.

-
- [6]. V. Popa, Theorems of unique fixed point for expansion mappings, *Demonstratio Math.* 23(1990), 213 -218.
- [7]. V. Popa, Common fixed points of compatible mappings, *Demons. Math.* 26(3-4)(1993), 802-809.
- [8]. V. Popa, Some fixed point theorems for compatible mappings satisfying an implicit relation, *Demonstratio Math.* 32 (1999), 157-163.
- [9]. B. E. Rhoades , Some fixed point theorems for pairs of mappings, *Jnanabha* 15(1985), 151-156.
- [10]. S. Sessa, On weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point consideration, *Publ. Inst. Math.* 32 (46) (1986), 149-153.
- [11]. S. Sessa, and B. Fisher, Common fixed points of weakly commuting mappings, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 36 (1987), 345-349.
- [12]. S. Sessa, B. E. Rhoades and M. S. Khan, On common fixed points of compatible mappings in Metric and Banach spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Sci.* 11 (2) (1988), 375-392.
- [13]. S. Sharma and P.C. Patidar, On common fixed point theorem of four mappings, *Bull. Mal. Math. Soc.*
- [14]. S. Z. Wong, B.Y.Li, Z. M.Gao and K. Iseki, Some fixed point theorems on expansion mappings, *Math. Japonica* 29 (1984), 631-636.
- [15]. S. Sharma and B. Deshpande , On Compatible Mappings Satisfying an Implicit Relation in Common Fixed Point Consideration, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics* volume33,Nu.3,Autumn 2002.
-