



http://www.bomsr.com

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A Peer Reviewed International Research Journal



FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR A SEQUENCE OF MAPPINGS IN DISLOCATED QUASI-METRIC SPACE

Dr. S.S. PAGEY¹, NEENA GUPTA²

¹Institute for excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal, Retired Professor, Department of Mathematics, Institute for excellence in Higher Education, Bhopal

²Department of Mathematics, Career College, Bhopal, University of Barkatullah, Bhopal

¹pagedrss@rediffmail.com, ²gneena33@gmail.com



NEENA GUPTA

ABSTRACT

In this Paper we have proved Fixed Point Theorems in dislocated quasi-metric space for sequence of mappings using rational inequality.

Key-words: Dislocated metric space, dislocated quasi-metric space, fixed point, dq- Cauchy sequence, dq limit.

©KY PUBLICATIONS

1 INTRODUCTION

In 1922, S. Banach [8] proved a fixed point theorem for contraction mapping in metric space. Since then a number of fixed point theorems have been proved by different authors and many generalizations of this theorem have been established. In 2000, P. Hitzler and A.K. Seda [5,7] introduced the notion of dislocated metric space in which self distance of a point need not be equal to zero. They also generalized the famous Banach contraction principle in this space. Dislocated metric space plays a very important role not only in topology but also in other branches of science involving mathematics especially in logic programming and electronic engineering [6]. D.S Jaggi [3] proved fixed point theorem using rational type of contractive condition which generalize the Banach contraction principle in complete metric space. Zeyada et. Al. [4] initiated the concept of dislocated quasi metric space and generalized the result of Hitzler and Seda [7] in dislocated quasi metric space .C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke [2], A Isufati [1] established some important fixed point theorems in single and pair of mappings in dislocated metric space. In this paper we established a fixed point theorem in the context of dislocated quasi metric space.

2 Preliminaries

We introduce below necessary notions and present a few results in dislocated quasi-metric space that will be used throughout the paper.

Definition 2.1 [4,5] Let X be a non-empty set $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a function, called a distance function if for all $x, y, z \in X$, satisfies:

$$d_1 : d(x, x) = 0$$

$$d_2 : d(x, y) = d(y, x) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$$

$$d_3 : d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

$$d_4 : d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$$

If d satisfies the condition $d_1 - d_4$ then d is called a metric on X .

If it satisfies the condition d_1, d_2 and d_4 , it is called quasi-metric space.

If d satisfies condition d_2, d_3 and d_4 , it is called dislocated metric (or simply d -metric)

If d satisfies only d_2 and d_4 , then d is called a dislocated quasi-metric (or simply dq -metric) on X .

Definition 2.2 [4,5] A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in dq -metric space (X, d) is called Cauchy if for all $\varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\forall m, n \geq n_0, d(x_m, x_n) < \varepsilon$ or $d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon$

Definition 2.3 [4] A sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ dislocated quasi converges or dq -converges to x if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} d(x, x_n) = 0$

In this case x is called a dq -limit of $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and we write $x_n \rightarrow x$

Definition 2.4 [4,5] A dq -metric space (X, d) is complete if every Cauchy sequence in it is dq -convergent.

Lemma 2.5 [4] Every subsequence of dq -convergent sequence to a point x_0 is dq -convergent to x_0

Definition 2.6 [4,5] Let (X, d) be a dq -metric space. A mapping $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called contraction if there exists $0 \leq \lambda < 1$ such that:

$$d(fx, fy) \leq \lambda d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Lemma 2.7 [4,5] dq -limits in a dq -metric space are unique.

Further some theorems [5] give common fixed points for continuous contraction mapping satisfying contractive type condition and rational inequality in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space.

Our theorem prove the result for sequence of mappings.

3 Main Result

We Prove the following theorem.

Theorem- Let (X, d) be a complete dislocated quasi-metric space. Let $\langle T_k \rangle$ be a sequence of self mappings on X satisfies the condition :

$$d(T_i x, T_j y) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, T_j y) d(y, T_j y)}{d(T_i x, x) + d(x, T_j y)} + \beta [d(x, T_j y) + d(y, T_j y)] + \gamma d(x, y) \dots \dots \dots (3.1)$$

For all $x, y \in X, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ are non negative with $0 \leq 2\alpha + 3\beta + \gamma < 1$

Then $\langle T_k \rangle$ have a unique common fixed point.

Proof- Let $x_0 \in X$. We define a sequence $\langle x_n \rangle$ in X such that $T_i x_{n-1} = x_n$ and $T_j x_n = x_{n+1}$, for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &= d(T_i x_{n-1}, T_j x_n) \\ &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x_{n-1}, T_j x_n) d(x_n, T_j x_n)}{d(T_i x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, T_j x_n)} + \beta [d(x_{n-1}, T_j x_n) + d(x_n, T_j x_n)] + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{by (3.1)} \\ &= \alpha \frac{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})} + \beta [d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \beta [d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \text{ By } d_4 \\ d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Rightarrow \frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})}{d(x_n, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})} \leq 1 \\ &= (\alpha + \beta)d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}) + \beta[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta)[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \beta[d(x_n, x_{n+1})] + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + 2\beta d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \beta d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + \\ &\quad \alpha d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\quad = \alpha d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + (\alpha + 2\beta)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (\beta + \gamma)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) - (\alpha + 2\beta)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\Rightarrow (1 - \alpha - 2\beta)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\beta} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

Where $\lambda = \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\beta}$, $0 \leq \lambda < 1$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\alpha + \beta + \gamma}{1 - \alpha - 2\beta} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1 - \alpha - 2\beta$$

$$\Rightarrow 2\alpha + 3\beta + \gamma < 1$$

Hence $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ (3.2)

Similarly $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda \cdot \lambda d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \text{ by using 3.2}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^2 d(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})$$

$$\text{and } d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^3 d(x_{n-3}, x_{n-2})$$

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^4 d(x_{n-4}, x_{n-3})$$

Continuing in this way. We have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

$$\because 0 \leq \lambda < 1$$

and as $n \rightarrow \infty$ $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$

Similarly we show that $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \rightarrow 0$

Hence $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a Cauchy sequence in a complete dislocated quasi-metric space (X, d) So there exist $u \in X$ such that $\langle x_n \rangle$ converges to u in dislocated quasi-metric space.

i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ (3.3)

Now $d(u, T_j u) \leq d(u, x_n) + d(x_n, T_j u)$ by d_4

$$= d(u, x_n) + d(T_i x_{n-1}, T_j u)$$

$$\leq d(u, x_n) + \alpha \frac{(x_{n-1} T_j u) \cdot d(u, T_j u)}{d(T_i x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}) + d(x_{n-1} T_j u)} + \beta [d(x_{n-1}, T_j u) + d(u, T_j u)] + \gamma d(x_{n-1}, u) \dots (3.1)$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ by (3.3)}$$

$$\Rightarrow d(u, T_j u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

$\Rightarrow u$ is a fixed point of T_j

Similarly we can prove that u is a fixed point of T_i .

$$d(T_i u, u) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence we have proved that u is a common fixed point of T_i and T_j .

Uniqueness – Let u and v are fixed point of T_i and T_j . Such that $T_i u = u$ and

$$T_j v = v$$

Then $d(u, u) = d(T_i u, T_i u)$

$$\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, T_i u) d(u, T_i u)}{d(T_i u, u) + d(u, T_i u)} + \beta [d(u, T_i u) + d(u, T_i u)] + \gamma d(u, u)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \alpha \frac{d(u,u)d(u,u)}{d(u,u)+d(u,u)} + \beta[d(u,u) + d(u,u)] + \gamma d(u,u) \\
 &= \alpha \frac{[d(u,u)]^2}{2d(u,u)} + 2\beta d(u,u) + \gamma d(u,u) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(u,u) + 2\beta d(u,u) + \gamma d(u,u) \\
 &= (\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma)d(u,u) \\
 \Rightarrow d(u,u) - (\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma)d(u,u) &\leq 0 \\
 d(u,u)[1-(\alpha + 2\beta + \gamma)] &\leq 0 \\
 \Rightarrow d(u,u) = 0 & \dots\dots\dots(3.4)
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $d(u, u)=0$ for a fixed point u of T_i .

Similarly we get $d(v, v) = 0$ for a fixed point v of T_j (3.5)

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(u, v) &= d(T_i, T_j v) \\
 &\leq \alpha \frac{d(u, T_j v)d(v, T_j v)}{d(T_i u, u)+d(u, T_j v)} + \beta[d(u, T_j v) + d(v, T_j v)] + \gamma d(u, v) \\
 &= \alpha \frac{d(u, v)d(v, v)}{d(u, u) + d(u, v)} + \beta[d(u, v) + d(v, v)] + \gamma d(u, v) \\
 &\leq \alpha d(v, v) + \beta[d(u, v)] + \gamma d(u, v) + \beta d(v, v) \\
 &\hspace{15em} \text{By using } d_4 \\
 &\hspace{15em} d(u, v) \leq d(u, u) + d(u, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\alpha + \beta)d(v, v) + (\beta + \gamma)d(u, v) \\
 \Rightarrow [1 - (\beta + \gamma)]d(u, v) &\leq (\alpha + \beta)d(v, v)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$d(u, v) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} d(v, v)$$

$$d(u, v) \leq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.6) \quad \text{By (3.5) and } \because \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} < 1$$

Similarly

$$d(v, u) \leq \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} d(u, u)$$

$$\Rightarrow d(v, u) \leq 0 \quad \dots\dots\dots (3.7) \quad \text{By (3.5)}$$

$$\text{Hence } |d(u, v) - d(v, u)| \leq \left| \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} \right| |d(v, v) - d(u, u)| \leq 0$$

$$\text{By 3.4 and } \because \frac{\alpha + \beta}{1 - (\beta + \gamma)} < 1$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(u, v) - d(v, u)| = 0 \quad \because \text{Modulus is not negative}$$

$$d(u, v) = d(v, u) \quad \dots\dots\dots(3.8)$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8)

$$d(u, v) = d(v, u) = 0$$

Then $u = v$ by d_2

Hence fixed point is unique

Reference :

[1] A. Isufati Fixed point Theorem in Dislocated Quasi-Metric Space, Applied Math, Sci., 4(5), 217-223, (2010).
 [2] C.T. Aage and J.N. Salunke, The Result on fixed point Theorem in Dislocated and Dislocated-Metric Space, Applied Math, Sci, 2(59) 2941, 2948, (2008).

- [3] D.S. Jaggi. Some Unique fixed point theorems. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math*, 8(2): 223-230, (1977).
 - [4] F. M. Zeyada, G.H. Hassan and M.A. Ahmed, A Generalization of a fixed point theorem due to Hitzler and seda in dislocated quasi-metric spaces. *The Arabian J. Sci. Engg.*, 31(1A) 111-114, (2006).
 - [5] Kastriot Zoto, Elida Hoxha and Arben Isufati, Some New Results in Dislocated and Dislocated Quasi-Metric Spacs, *Applied Mathematical Science*,6(71), 3519-3526 (2012).
 - [6] P. Hitzler, Generalized Metric and Topology in Logic Programming Semantics, Ph.D. Thesis, National University of Ireland, (University College, Cork), (2001).
 - [7] P. Hitzler and A.K. Seda, Dislocated Topologies, *J. Electr. Engg.*, 51(12/s), 3-7, (2000).
 - [8] S. Banach, "Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrals," *Fundamental Mathematicae*,3(7), pp. 133–181, (1922).
-