



GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM TYPE STABILITY OF THE TYPE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION  
WITH  $2k$ -VARIABLE IN NON-ARCHIMEDEAN SPACE

LY VAN AN

Faculty of Mathematics Teacher Education; Tay Ninh University; Ninh Trung;  
Ninh Son; Tay Ninh Province; Vietnam. Email address : lyvanan145@gmail.com

DOI: [10.33329/bomsr.8.4.27](https://doi.org/10.33329/bomsr.8.4.27)



ABSTRACT

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the following Cauchy type additive functional equation and the quadratic type functional equation in non–Archimedean space: We will show that the solution of the first and second equation are the additive and quadratic mappings

Keywords: Generalized Hyers Ulam stability, Cauchy functional equation; quadratic equation non-Archimedean space:

Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B22 39B82 46S10:

1. Introduction

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a normed spaces on the same field  $\mathbb{K}$ ; and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping. We use the notation  $\| \cdot \|$  for the norms on both  $X$  and  $Y$ : In this paper, we investigate some functional equation when  $X$  is a additive semigroup and  $Y$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space or when  $X$  is a additive group and  $Y$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. In fact, when  $X$  is a additive semigroup and  $Y$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space. we solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of following Cauchy type additive functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^k f(x_i) \quad (1.1)$$

and

when  $X$  is a additive group and  $Y$  is a non-Archimedean Banach space we solve and prove the Hyers-Ulam stability of following quadratic type functional equation

$$f\left(\frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+1} + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{K}\sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+1} - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) = 2\sum_{i=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{k}\right) + 2\sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) \quad (1.2)$$

Note:  $k$  be a fixed integer with  $k \geq 2$ :

The study of the functional equation stability originated from a question of S.M. Ulam [34], concerning the stability of group homomorphisms. Let  $(\mathbb{G}, *)$ ; be a group and let  $(\mathbb{G}', o, d)$  be a metric group with metric  $d(., .)$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $f : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$  satisfies.

$$d(f(x * y), f(x) o f(y)) < \delta$$

for all  $x; y \in \mathbb{G}$  then there is a homomorphism  $h : \mathbb{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}'$  with

$$d(f(x), h(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{G}$ ? If the answer, is affirmative, we would say that equation of homomorphism  $h(x * y) = h(x) o h(y)$  is stable. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus the stability question of functional equations is that how do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given function equation? Hyers[18] gave a first affirmative answer the question of Ulam as follows:

Let  $E_1$  be a normed space,  $E_2$  a Banach space and suppose that the mapping  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  satisfies inequality,

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon$$

for all  $x; y \in E_1$  where  $\epsilon \geq 0$  is a constant. Then the limit  $T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n} f(2^n x)$  exists for each  $y \in E_1$  and  $T$  is the unique additive mapping,

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \epsilon, \forall x \in E_1$$

Also if for each  $x$  the functional  $t \rightarrow f(xt)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $E_2$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ : If  $f$  continuous at a single point of  $E_1$ ; then  $T$  is continuous everywhere in  $E_1$

Next Th. M. Rassias [29] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem as a special case. Suppose  $E$  and  $E'$  is normed space with  $E'$  a complete normed space,  $f : E \rightarrow E'$  is a mapping such that for each fixed  $x \in E$  the mapping  $t \rightarrow f(xt)$  is continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Assume that there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $p \in [0; 1]$  such that,

$$\|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon, (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p), \forall x, y \in E$$

Then there exists a unique linear  $L : E \rightarrow E'$  satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1 - 2^{1-p}} \|x\|^p, x \in E$$

The case of the existence of a unique additive mapping had been obtained by Aoki [1], as it is recently noticed by Lech Maligranda. However, Aoki [1] had claimed the existence of a unique linear mapping, that is not true because he did not allow the mapping  $f$  to satisfy some continuity assumption. Th. M. Rassias[29], who independently introduced the unbounded difference was the first to prove that there exists a unique linear mapping  $T$  satisfying

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{1 - 2^{1-p}} \|x\|^p, x \in \mathbb{E}$$

In 1990, Th. M. Rassias [31] during the 27<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Functional Equation asked the question whether such a theorem can also be proved for  $p \geq 1$ : In 1991, Z. Gajda [15] following the same approach as in Th. M. Rassias [31], gave an affirmative solution to this question for  $p > 1$ : It was proved by Gajda [15], as well as by Th. M. Rassias and P. Semrl [32] that one can not prove a Th. M. Rassias type theorem when  $p = 1$ : In 1994, P. Gavruta [17] provided a further generalization of Th. M. Rassias theorem in which he replaced the bounded  $\epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$  by a general control function  $\psi(x, y)$  for the existence of a unique linear mapping. In [12], Czerwik proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. Borelli and Forti [10] generalized stability the result as follows [19]:

Let  $G$  be an *Abelian* group, and  $X$  a Banach space. Assume that a mapping  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies the functional inequality

$$\|f(x+y) + f(x-y) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)\| \leq \varphi(x, y), \forall x, y \in G$$

and  $\varphi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is function such that

$$\psi(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi(2^i x, 2^i y) < \infty$$

$\forall x, y \in G$ . Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  with the properties

$$\|f(x) + Q(x)\| \leq \psi(x, x) \forall x, y \in G$$

Here, we cannot fail to notice that S-M. Jung [19] dealt with stability problem for the quadratic function equation of pexider type

$$f_1(x+y) + f_2(x-y) = f_3(x) - f_4(y)$$

In addition, the conditional stability of quadratic equation and stability of the quadratic mappings in Banach modules were studied by M. S. Moslehian [22] and C. Park [27]. Next in 2007 Mohammad Sal Moslehian, Themistocles M. Rassias [21] proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of Cauchy additive functional equation and quadratic functional equation. Recently, in [3-6, 21] the authors studied the Hyers-Ulam stability for the following functional equations

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \tag{1.3}$$

and

$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y) \tag{1.4}$$

Next

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + f(z) \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} + z\right) + f\left(\frac{x+y}{2} - z\right) = 2f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) + 2f(z) \tag{1.6}$$

in non-Archimedean spaces. So that we solve and proved the Hyers-Ulam type stability for functional equation (1.1) and (1.2) is the functional equations with  $2k$ -variables. Under suitable assumptions on spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we will prove that the mappings satisfying the functional equations (1.1) or (1.2).

Thus, the results in this paper are generalization of those in [3-6, 21] for functional equations with  $2k$ -variables.

**The paper is organized as follows:**

In section preliminaries we remind some basic notations in [3-6, 11, 20] such as Non Archimedean field, Non-Archimedean normed space and Non-Archimedean Banach space.

Section 3 we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the Cauchy type additive functional equation (1.1) when  $G$  is an additive semigroup and  $X$  Non-Archimedean Banach space.

Section 4 we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic type functional equation (1.2) when  $G$  is an additive group and  $X$  Non-Archimedean Banach space.

**2. Preliminaries**

**2.1. Non-Archimedean normed and Banach spaces.** In this subsection we recall some basic notations [11, 20] such as Non-Archimedean fields, Non-Archimedean normed spaces and Non-Archimedean normed spaces.

A valuation is a function  $|\cdot|$  from a field  $\mathbb{K}$  into  $k [0; 1)$  such that 0 is the unique element having the 0 valuation,

$$|r| = 0 \leftrightarrow r = 0$$

$$|rs| = |r| |s| \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}$$

And the triangle inequality holds, ie.,

$$|r + s| \leq |r| + |s| \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}$$

A field  $K$  is called a valued field if  $\mathbb{K}$  carries a valuation. The usual absolute values of  $\mathbb{R}$  are examples of valuation. Let us consider a valuation which satisfies a stronger condition than the triangle inequality. If the triangle inequality is replaced by

$$|r + s| \leq \max\{|r|, |s|\} \forall r, s \in \mathbb{K}$$

then the function  $|\cdot|$  is called a non-Archimedean valuation, and field. Clearly  $|1| = |-1| = 1$  and  $|n| \leq 1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A trivial example of a non-Archimedean valuation is the function taking everything except for 0 into 1 and  $|0| = 0$  this paper, we assume that the base field is a non-Archimedean field, hence call it simply a field.

**Definition 2.1** Let  $X$  be a vector space over a field  $K$  with a non-archimedean  $|\cdot|$ . A function  $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said a non-archimedean norm if it satisfies the following conditions

- (1)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\|rx\| = |r| \|x\| (r \in \mathbb{K}, x \in X)$ ;
- (3)  $\|x + y\| \leq \max\{\|x\|, \|y\|\} x, y \in X$  hold.

Then  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  called a norm archimedean norm space. Due to the fact that

$$\|x_n + x_m\| \leq \max\{\|x_{j+1} + x_j\| : m \leq j \leq n - 1\} (n > m)$$

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\{x_n\}$ , be a sequence in a norm -Archimedean normed space  $X$ .

- (1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in a non -Archimedean space is a Cauchy sequence if the  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero
- (2) The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is said to be convergent if, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are a positive integer  $N$  and  $x \in X$  such that

$$\|x_n + x\| \leq \epsilon. \forall n \geq N,$$

for all  $n, m \geq N$ . Then the point  $x \in X$  is called the limit of sequence  $x_n$ , which is denoted by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ .

(3) If every sequence Cauchy in  $X$  convergent, then the norm -Archimedean normed space  $X$  is called a norm -Archimedean Branch space.

## 2.2. Solutions of the inequalities. The functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

is called the Cauchy equation. In particular, every solution of the Cauchy equation is said to be an *additive mapping*.

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called the quadratic equation. In particular, every solution of the quadratic equation is said to be a *quadratic mapping*.

## MAIN RESULTS

### 3. STABILITY of THE CAUCHY TYPE ADDITIVE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION

In this section, assume that  $X$  is an additive semigroup and  $Y$  is a complete non- Archimedean space.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $L : X^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a functional such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L: (2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k}}{|2k|^2} \quad (3.1)$$

For all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in X$  and let each  $x \in X$  then limit

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L: (2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|2k|^j} \right\} \quad 0 \leq j < n \quad (3.2)$$

Exists, suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying

$$\left\| f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) - \sum_{k=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+i}}{k} \right) \right\| \leq L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) \quad (3.3)$$

then let there exists an additive mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|2k|} \phi(x) \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Moreover, if

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L: (2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|2k|^j}; p \leq j < n + p \right\} = 0 \quad (3.5)$$

then  $T$  is the unique additive mapping satisfying (3.4)

*Proof.* Putting  $x_i = x$  and  $x_{i+1} = kx$  for all  $i = 1; 2; \dots; k$  in (3.3), we get

$$\|f(2kx) - 2kf(x)\| \leq L(x, x, \dots, x) \quad (3.6)$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $(2k)^{n-1}x$  in (3.6), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(2k)^n x}{(2k)^n} - \frac{f((2k)^{n-1} x)}{(2k)^{n-1}} \right\| \leq \frac{L((2k)^{n-1} x, (2k)^{n-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{n-1} x)}{|2k|^n} \quad (3.7)$$

It following from (3.1) and (3.7) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence.

Since  $Y$  is complete, we conclude that  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n} \right\}$  is convergent. Set

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(2k)^n}$$

Using induction one can show that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2k)^n x}{(2k)^n} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|2k|} \max \left\{ \frac{L((2k)^p x, (2k)^p x, \dots, (2k)^p x)}{|2k|^p}; 0 \leq p < n \right\} \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in X$ . By taking  $n$  to approach infinity in (3.8), and using (3.2),

one obtains (3.4). Replacing  $x_i$  and  $x_{k+i}$  by  $(2k)^n x_i$  and  $(2k)^n x_{k+i}$ , respectively, in (3.3)

$$\left\| \frac{1}{(2k)^n} f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (2k)^n x_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (2k)^n x_{k+i} \right) - \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{i=1}^k f((2k)^n x_i) - \frac{1}{(2k)^n} \sum_{k=1}^k f \left( \frac{(2k)^n x_{k+i}}{k} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{L((2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k})}{|2k|^n}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (3.1) we get

$$f \left( \sum_{j=1}^k x_j + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} \right) = \sum_{j=1}^k f(x_j) - \sum_{j=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in X$  To prove the uniqueness property of  $T$ , let  $P : X$

$\rightarrow Y$  be another function satisfying (3.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - P(x)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2k|^{-n} \|T((2k)^n x) - P((2k)^n x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |2k|^{-n} \max\{\|T((2k)^n x) - f((2k)^n x)\|, \|f((2k)^n x) - P((2k)^n x)\|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|2k|} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{ \frac{L: (2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|2k|^j}; p \leq j < n + p \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Therefore  $T = P$ , and the proof is complete.

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be mapping satisfying

$$\beta(|2k|) \leq \beta(|2k|)\beta(t) (t \geq 0) \text{ and } \beta(|2k|) < |2k|$$

Let  $\delta > 0, X$  be a normed space and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  fulfill the inequality

$$\left\| f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} \right) - \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) - \sum_{k=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+i}}{k} \right) \right\| < \beta \left( \|x_i\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_{k+i}\| \right) \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in X$ . Then exists a additive mapping  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2}{|2k|} \delta \beta(\|x\|)$$

for all  $x \in X$

**Proof.** Defining  $L: x^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) := \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \beta \|x_i\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \beta \|x_{k+i}\| \right)$$

then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L((2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k})}{|2k|^n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\beta(|2k|)}{|2k|} \right)^2 L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) \quad (3.11)$$

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L:(2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|2k|^j}; 0 \leq j < n \right\} = L(x, x, \dots, x) \quad (3.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L:(2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|2k|^j}; p \leq j < n + p = 0 \right. \\ \left. = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{((2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k})}{|2k|^n} = 0 \right. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem (3.1) we conclude the required result.

#### 4. Stability of the quadratic type functional equation

In this section, assume that  $G$  is an additive group and  $X$  is a complete non-Archimedean space.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $L : G^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a functional such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L:(2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k}}{|4k|^2} \quad (4.1)$$

For all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in G$  and let each  $x \in G$  then limit

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L:(2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|4k|^j}; 0 \leq j < n \right\} \quad (4.2)$$

Exists, suppose that  $f: G \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping satisfying  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$\left\| f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} \right) + f \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+i}}{k} \right) \right\| \leq \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_i\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_{k+i}\| \right) \quad (4.3)$$

then there exists a quadratic mapping  $T : G \rightarrow X$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{|4k|} (\delta \gamma (\|x\|)^2) \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $x \in G$ , moreover, if

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L:(2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x}{|4k|^j}; p \leq j < n + p \right\} = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

then  $T$  is the unique quadratic mapping satisfying (4.4)

**Proof.** Putting  $x_i = x$  and  $x_{+1i} = kx$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  in (4.3), we get

$$\|f(2kx) - 4kf(x)\| \leq L(x, x, \dots, x) \quad (4.6)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $(2k)^{n-1}x$  in (4.6), we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(2k)^n x}{(4k)^n} - \frac{f((2k)^{n-1} x)}{(4k)^{n-1}} \right\| \leq \frac{L((2k)^{n-1} x, (2k)^{n-1} x, \dots, (2k)^{n-1} x)}{|4k|^n} \quad (4.7)$$

It following from (4.1) and (4.7) that the sequence  $\left\{ \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(4k)^n} \right\}$  is Cauchy sequence.

Since  $X$  is complete, we conclude that  $\left\{ \frac{f((4k)^n x)}{(4k)^n} \right\}$  is convergent. Set

$$T(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f((2k)^n x)}{(4k)^n}$$

Using induction one can show that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2k)^n x}{(4k)^n} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{|4k|} \max \left\{ \frac{L((2k)^p x, (2k)^p x, \dots, (2k)^p x)}{|4k|^p}; 0 \leq p < n \right\} \quad (4.8)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and all  $x \in G$ . By taking  $n$  to approach infinity in (4.8), and using (4.2),

one obtains (4.4). Replacing  $x_i$  and  $x_{k+i}$  by  $(2k)^n x_i$  and  $(2k)^n x_{k+i}$ , respectively, in (4.3)

$$\left\| \frac{1}{(4k)^n} f \left( \sum_{i=1}^k (2k)^n x_i + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (2k)^n x_{k+i} \right) - \frac{1}{(4k)^n} \sum_{i=1}^k f((2k)^n x_i) - \frac{1}{(4k)^n} \sum_{k=1}^k f \left( \frac{(2k)^n x_{k+i}}{k} \right) \right\| \leq \frac{L((2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k})}{|4k|^n}$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using (4.1) we get

$$f \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_{k+j} + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \frac{1}{k} \right) + f \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+j} - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+j}}{k} \right) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in G$ . To prove the uniqueness property of  $T$ , let  $P : G$

$\rightarrow Y$  be another function satisfying (4.4). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - P(x)\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^{-n} \|T((2k)^n x) - P((2k)^n x)\| \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |4k|^{-n} \max\{\|T((2k)^n x) - f((2k)^n x)\|, \|f((2k)^n x) - P((2k)^n x)\|\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{|4k|} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max\left\{ \frac{L((2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x)}{|4k|^j}; p \leq j < n + p \right\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Therefore  $T = P$ , and the proof is complete.

**Corollary 4.2.** Let  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be mapping satisfying

$$\gamma(|2k|) \leq \gamma(|2k|)\gamma(t) (t \geq 0) \text{ and } \gamma(|2k|) < |2k|$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ ,  $G$  be a normed space and be an even mapping satisfying  $f : G \rightarrow Y$  fulfill  $f(0)=0$  and the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| f \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) + f \left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+i} - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k f \left( \frac{x_{k+i}}{k} \right) \right\| \\ \leq \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_i\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_{k+i}\| \right) \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$



$$\left\| f\left(\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+j} + \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) + f\left(\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k x_{k+j} - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right) - 2\sum_{i=1}^k f(x_i) - 2\sum_{i=1}^k f\left(\frac{x_{k+j}}{k}\right) \right\|$$

$$< \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_i\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_{k+i}\| \right) \quad (4.10)$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k} \in G$ . Then exists a quadratic mapping  $T : G \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \frac{2}{|4k|} \delta \gamma (\|x\|)^2$$

for all  $x \in G$

**Proof.** Defining  $L : G^{2k} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by

$$L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) := \delta \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_i\| + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma \|x_{k+i}\| \right)$$

then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L((2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k})}{|4k|^n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\beta(|2k|)}{|2k|} \right)^{2n} L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2k}) \quad (4.11)$$

$$\phi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L((2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x)}{|4k|^j}; 0 \leq j < n \right\} = L(x, x, \dots, x) \quad (4.12)$$

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ \frac{L((2k)^j x, (2k)^j x, \dots, (2k)^j x)}{|4k|^j}; p \leq j < n + p \right\}$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L((2k)^n x_1, (2k)^n x_2, \dots, (2k)^n x_{2k})}{|4k|^n} = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

Applying Theorem (3.1) we conclude the required result.

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach space, J. Math. Soc. Japan 2(1950), 64-66.
- [2] Y:Aribou; S:Kabbaj. Generalized functional in inequalities in Non-Archimedean normed spaces, Applied Mathematics Letters 2, (2018) Pages: 61-66.
- [3] Ly V an An. Hyers-Ulam stability of functional inequalities with three variable in Banach spaces and Non-Archimedean Banach spaces International Journal of Mathematical Analysis Vol.13, 2019, no.11. 519-53014), 296-310. .<https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9954>. [4] Ly V an An. Hyers-Ulam stability additive -functional inequalities with three variable in Banachspaces and Non-Archimedean Banach spaces International Journal of Mathematical Analysis Vol.14,2020, no. 5-8. 519-53014), 296-310. .<https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2020.91169>.
- [5] Ly V an An. Hyers-Ulam stability quadratic -functional inequalities with three variable in -homogeneous normed spaces International Journal of Mathematic Research. ISSN 0976-5840 Volume12, Number 1 (2020), pp. 47-64 .<https://www.irphouse.com>.

- [6] Ly V an An. generalized hyers-ulam type stability of the  $2k$ -variable Additive  $\phi$ -functional inequalities and equations in complex banach spaces International Journal of Mathematic Trends and Technology (IJMTT)-Volume 66 Issue 7-July 2020 .<http://www.ijmttjournal.org>.
- [7] A.Bahyrycz, M. Piszczek, Hyers stability of the Jensen function equation, Acta Math. Hungar.,142 (2014),353-365.
- [8] Badora, R.: On approximate ring homomorphisms. J Math. Anal. Appl., 276, 589-597 (2000) .
- [9] M.Balcerowski, On the functional equations related to a problem of z Boros and Z. Droczy, Acta Math. Hungar.,138 (2013), 329-340.
- [10] C. Borelli, G. L. Forti: On the Hyers-Ulam general stability result Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.18 (1995), 229-236.
- [11] Y:J:Cho;Choonkil Park; and R:Saadati functional in equalities in Non-Archimedean normed spaces, Applied Mathematics Letters 23(2010), 1238-1242.
- [12] S:Czerwik on the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ Ham-burg, 62 (1992), 59-64.
- [13] W. Fechner, On some functional inequalities related to the logarithmic mean, Acta Math., Hungar.,128 (2010,)31-45, 303-309.
- [14] Attila Gilanyi, On a problem by K. Nikodem, Math. Inequal. Appl., 5 (2002), 707-710.
- [15] Z. Gajda: On stability of additive mappings, Internat. J. Math. Sci.,14 (1991), 431-434.
- [16] Attila Gilanyi, Eine zur parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente ungleichung, Aequations 5 (2002),707-710. <https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-05-71> .
- [17] Pascus. Gavruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam  $\phi$ -Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, Journal of mathematical Analysis and Aequations 184 (3) (1994), 431-436. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1994.1211> .
- [18] Donald H. Hyers, On the stability of the functional equation, Proceedings of the National Academy of the United States of America, 27 (4) (1941), 222.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.27.4.222>,
- [19] S. M. Jung: Stability of the quadratic equation of Pexider type. Abh. Math. Sem Univ. Hamburg, 70 (2000), 175-190.
- [20] Jung Rye Lee, Choonkil Park, and Dong Yun Shin. Additive and quadratic functional in equalities in Non-Archimedean normed spaces, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 8 (2014), 1233-1247.
- [21] Mohammad Sal Moslehian, Themistocles M Rassias. stability of the functional equations in non Archimedean spaces Applicable analysis and discrete mathematic, 1 (2007) 325-334 available electronically at <http://pefmth.etf.bgac.yu>.

- [22] Mohammad Sal Moslehian, on the orthogonal stability of the Pexiderized quadratic J. Differential Equations Appl., 11 (2005) 999-1004.
- [23] L. Maligranda. Tosio Aoki (1910-1989) In International symposium on Banach and function spaces:14/09/2006-17/09/2006, pages 1{23. Yokohama Publishers, 2008.
- [24] A. Najati and G. Z. Eskandani. Stability of a mixed additive and cubic functional equation in quasiBanach spaces J. Math. Anal. Appl., 342(2):1318{1331, 2008.
- [25] C. Park, Y. Cho, M. Han. Functional inequalities associated with Jordan-von Neumann-type additive functional equations, J. Inequality .Appl., 2007(2007), Article ID 41820, 13 pages.
- [26] Choonkil: Park. Additive -functional inequalities, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Appl. 7(2014), 296-310.
- [27] C. Park, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules, J. Math. Anal Appl. 275 (2002), 711-720. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X\(02\)/00386-144](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0022-247X(02)/00386-144), . .
- [28] W. P and J. Schwaiger, A system of two inhomogeneous linear functional equations, Acta Math. Hungar 140 (2013), 377-406.
- [29] Th.M Rassias, On the stability of the linear mappings in Banach space, Proc. Amer. Math. So. 72 (1978) 297-300 .
- [30] Th.M. Rassias and P.Semrl, on the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings, j.Math. Anal. Appl 173(1993) 325-338.
- [31] Th.M. Rassias Problem 16; 2, Report of the 27th International Symp on functional equations AequationMath (1990), 292-293; 309.
- [32] Th.M. Rassias, P.Semri: on the behaviour of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability Proc Amer . Math. Soc., 114 (1992), 989-993.
- [33] Jurg Ratz On inequalities associated with the Jordan-von Neumann functional equation, Aequationes matheaticae, 66 (1) (2003), 191-200 <https://doi.org/10-1007/s00010-0032684-8>.
- [34] S M. Ulam. A collection of Mathematical problems, volume 8, Interscience Publishers. New York, 1960.
- [35] Deng Hua ZHANG and Huai Xin CAO stability of function equations in several variables Acta Mathematica, English series Feb, 2007, vol. 23, No. 2, pp. 321-326 Published online: Nov. 6, 2006 DOI: 10.1007/s10114-005-0862-4 <https://www.ActaMath.com>.