@COPYRIGHT, KY PUBLICATIONS



http://www.bomsr.com

Review Article

BULLETIN OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS RESEARCH

A Peer Reviewed International Research Journal



G- Frame Operators

NEHA AGRAWAL

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, University of Delhi, Delhi



NEHAAGRAWAL Author for Correspondence Article Info: Article received :19/04/2014 Revised on:02/05/2014 Accepted on:04/05/2014

ABSTRACT

G-frames are generalized frames which include ordinary frames and many recent generalizations of frames. The aim of this article is to study the g- frame operators and their properties and the dual gframes. We will also study some spectral properties of g-frame operators.

Key words and Phrases: G- frames, G-frame operator, spectrum.

INTRODUCTION

Frames are generalizations of orthonormal bases in Hilbert spaces. As for an orthonormal basis, a frame allows each element in the underlying Hilbert space to be written as an unconditionally convergent infinite linear combination of the frame elements; however in contrast to the situation for a basis, the coefficients might not be unique. G-frames were introduced by Wenchang Sun [4] in 2006.G-frames in Complex Hilbert spaceshave some properties similar to that of frames.

A sequence $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ of elements of a Hilbert space H is called a *frame* if there exist constants A, B > 0 such that for all $f \in H, A ||f||^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} |\langle f, f_i \rangle|^2 \le B ||f||^2$.

The numbers *A* and *B* are called the lower and upper frame bounds respectively. The largest number A > 0and the smallest number B > 0 satisfying the frame inequalities for all $f \in H$ are called optimal frame bounds. Throughout this article, *H* and *K* are Hilbert spaces and $\{H_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq K$ is a sequence of separable Hilbert spaces, where *I* is a subset of \mathbb{N} . $\mathcal{B}(H, H_i)$ is the collection of all bounded linear operators from *H* to H_i .

2. g- FRAMES

Definition 2.1 [4]: The sequence $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ is called a *g*-frame for H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ if there exist two positive constants A and B such that for all $f \in H$, we have

$$A\|f\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|\wedge_{i} f\|^{2} \leq B\|f\|^{2} .$$
(2.1)

The numbers *A* and *B* are called the lower and upper g- frame bounds respectively. The largest number A > 0and the smallest number B > 0 satisfying the frame inequalities for all $f \in H$ are called optimal frame bounds. **Definition 2.2 [4]:** The sequence $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i\in I}$ is called a *tight g-frame* if A = B and Parseval g- frame if A = B = 1. **Definition 2.3 [4]:** The sequence $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ is called a *g-Bessel* sequence if there exists B > 0such that $\sum_{i\in I} ||\Lambda_i f||^2 \leq B ||f||^2$ for all $f \in H$.

Definition 2.4 [4]: Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ be given. Define $(\sum_{i \in I} \oplus W_i)_{I_2}$ as

$$(\sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus H_i)_{l_2} = \{\{f_i\}_{i \in I} : f_i \in H_i \text{ and } \sum_{i \in I} ||f_i||^2 < \infty\},\$$

with the inner product is given by $\langle \{f_i\}_{i \in I}, \{g_i\}_{i \in I} \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle f_i, g_i \rangle$.

Definition 2.5 [4]: Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ be a g-frame for H. Then the synthesis operator for $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is the operator $T : (\sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus H_i)_{l_2} \to H$ defined by $(f) = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* f_i$,

for all $f = \{f_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus H_i)_{l_2}$, where \bigwedge_i^* is the adjoint of \bigwedge_i .

Definition 2.6 [4]: The adjoint T^* of the synthesis operator T is called the *analysis operator*.

The formula for the analysis operator is given by the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7 [3]: Let $\{ \wedge_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I \}$ be a g-frame for *H*. Then the analysis operator for $\{ \wedge_i \}_{i \in I}$ is the operator $T^* : H \to (\sum_{i \in I} \bigoplus H_i)_{l_2}$ defined by $T^*f = \{ \wedge_i f \}_{i \in I}$.

Proof: Let $f \in H$ and let $g = \{g_i\}_{i \in I} \in (\sum_{i \in I} \oplus H_i)_{l_2}$. Then

$$\langle T^*f,g\rangle = \langle f,Tg\rangle = \langle f,\sum_{i\in I}\wedge_i^*g_i\rangle = \sum_{i\in I}\langle\wedge_i f,g_i\rangle = \langle \{\wedge_i f\}_{i\in I},\{g\}_{i\in I}\rangle = \langle \{\wedge_i f\}_{i\in I},g\rangle$$

Therefore $T^*f = \{ \wedge_i f \}_{i \in I}$.

3. g- FRAME OPERATOR

Definition 3.1 [4]: Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ be a g-frame for H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$. The *g*- frame operator $S: H \to H$ is defined as $Sf = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f$ for all $f \in H$.

Theorem 3.2 [4]: Let $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ be a g-frame for H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ with frame bounds C and D. Then the frame operator S for $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a positive, self-adjoint, invertible operator on H with $CI_H \leq S \leq DI_H$ where I_H is the identity operator on H. We further have the formula $f = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i S^{-1}$ $f = \sum_{i \in I} S^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \Lambda_i f$ for all $f \in H$.

Proof: Let $f \in H$. Since $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a g- frame with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ with frame bounds *C* and *D*, we have $C \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{i \in I} \|\Lambda_i f\|^2 \leq D \|f\|^2$. Now,

$$||S|| = \sup\{\langle Sf, f \rangle : ||f|| = 1\} = \sup\{\sum_{i \in I} \langle \wedge_i^* \wedge_i f, f \rangle : ||f|| = 1\} = \sup\{\sum_{i \in I} \langle \wedge_i f, \wedge_i f \rangle : ||f|| = 1\} = \sup\{\sum_{i \in I} ||\wedge_i f||^2 : ||f|| = 1\} \le D$$

and $\langle Sf, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} || \wedge_i f ||^2 \ge 0$. Therefore *S* is a bounded, positive operator and hence is self-adjoint. Also $\langle Cf, f \rangle = C || f ||^2 \le \sum_{i \in I} || \wedge_i f ||^2 = \langle Sf, f \rangle \le D || f ||^2 = \langle Df, f \rangle$, which implies $CI_H \le S \le DI_H$. Again, $C || f ||^2 \le \langle Sf, f \rangle \le || Sf || || f ||$ which implies $|| Sf || \ge C || f ||$ and hence *S* is one- one. Now let $g \in H$ be such that $\langle Sf, g \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in H$ then $\langle f, Sg \rangle = 0$ which gives Sg = 0 and hence g = 0. Therefore SH = H. Hence *S* is invertible and $|| S^{-1} || \le \frac{1}{c}$.

For any
$$f \in H$$
, $f = SS^{-1}f = S^{-1}Sf = \sum_{i \in I} \Lambda_i^* \wedge_i S^{-1} f = \sum_{i \in I} S^{-1} \Lambda_i^* \wedge_i f$ (3.1)

4. DUAL g- FRAME

If in equation (3.1), we let $\Lambda_i = \Lambda_i S^{-1}$ then $\Lambda_i^* = S^{-1} \Lambda_i^*$ the equation reduces to

$$f = \sum_{i \in I} \wedge_i^* \widetilde{\wedge_i} \ f = \sum_{i \in I} \widetilde{\wedge_i}^* \wedge_i f$$

Theorem 4.1 [4]: The sequence $\{\mathcal{N}_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ is a g-frame for H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ with frame bounds $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Proof: For } f \in H, \\ & \sum_{i \in I} \|\mathcal{K}_{i} f\|^{2} = \sum_{i \in I} \|\mathcal{K}_{i} S^{-1} f\|^{2} = \sum_{i \in I} \langle\mathcal{K}_{i} S^{-1} f, \mathcal{K}_{i} S^{-1} f\rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle\mathcal{K}_{i}^{*} \mathcal{K}_{i} S^{-1} f, S^{-1} f\rangle \\ & = \langle SS^{-1} f, S^{-1} f\rangle = \langle f, S^{-1} f\rangle \leq \|S^{-1} f\| \|f\| \leq \frac{1}{C} \|f\|^{2} \\ & \text{and} \|f\|^{2} = \sum_{i \in I} \langle\mathcal{K}_{i}^{*} \mathcal{K}_{i} f, f\rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle\mathcal{K}_{i} f, \mathcal{K}_{i} f\rangle \leq (\sum_{i \in I} \|\mathcal{K}_{i} f\|^{2})^{1/2} (\sum_{i \in I} \|\mathcal{K}_{i} f\|^{2})^{1/2} \\ & \leq D^{1/2} \|f\| \left(\sum_{i \in I} \|\mathcal{K}_{i} f\|^{2}\right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\sum_{i \in I} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_i f\|^2 \ge \frac{1}{p} \|f\|^2$. Therefore $\{\tilde{\Lambda}_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ is a g-frame for H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ with frame bounds $\frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{1}{c}$.

Definition 4.2 [4]: The sequence $\{\Lambda_i : i \in I\}$ is called the *dual g-frame*of $\{\Lambda_i : i \in I\}$.

Theorem 4.3: The g- frames $\{\Lambda_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{\Lambda_i : i \in I\}$ are dual with respect to each other.

Proof:Let \tilde{S} be the g – frame operator associated with $\{\tilde{N}_i : i \in I\}$. Then for all $f \in H$,

$$S\tilde{S}f = \sum_{i \in I} S \,\tilde{\wedge_i}^* \tilde{\wedge_i} f = \sum_{i \in I} S(\wedge_i S^{-1})^* (\wedge_i S^{-1}) f = \sum_{i \in I} SS^{-1} \wedge_i^* \wedge_i S^{-1} f = SS^{-1} f = f$$

which implies $\tilde{S} = S^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\Lambda_i} \tilde{S}^{-1} = \Lambda_i S^{-1}S = \Lambda_i$. Therefore $\{\Lambda_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{\tilde{\Lambda_i} : i \in I\}$ are dual g- frames with respect to each other.

Theorem 4.4: The sequence $\{\wedge_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ is a Parseval g- frame if and only if $S = I_H$. **Proof:** Let $\{\wedge_i \in \mathcal{B}(H, H_i) : i \in I\}$ be a Parseval g- frame of subspaces then A = B = 1 in equation (2.1). Also by theorem 3.2, $AI_H \leq S \leq BI_H$, therefore $S = I_H$. Otherway let $S = I_H$. Then for all $f \in H$,

$$\|f\|^{2} = \langle f, f \rangle = \langle Sf, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \wedge_{i}^{*} \wedge_{i} f, f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle \wedge_{i} f, \wedge_{i} f \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \|\wedge_{i} f\|^{2}$$

Therefore $\{\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_i) : i \in I\}$ is a Parseval g- frame.

5. SPECTRUM OF g- FRAME OPERATOR

Definition 5.1 [2]: A complex number λ is said to be in the spectrum of a bounded linear operator T on a Hilbert space H, if $(T - \lambda I)$ is not invertible. The spectrum of bounded linear operator T is denoted by $\sigma(T)$ and its complement, the resolvent set is $(T) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(T)$.

Theorem 5.2 [2]: Let U be a bounded self- adjoint operator on a Hilbert space . Let $\rho(U)$ denotes the resolvent spectrum of U. A scalar $\lambda \in \rho(U)$ if and only if $(U - \lambda I)$ is bounded below.

Theorem 5.3: Let *S* be the g- frame operator on a Hilbert space *H*. Then $\sigma(S)$, the spectrum of *S* is a subset of the set of real numbers.

Proof: Let $\lambda \in \sigma(S)$. Let $\lambda = \alpha + i\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Let if possible $\beta \neq 0$. Then for each $x \in H$, $\langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle = \langle Sx, x \rangle - \lambda \langle x, x \rangle$.

Therefore $\overline{\langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle} = \langle Sx, x \rangle - \overline{\lambda} \langle x, x \rangle$.

This implies $\overline{\langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle} - \langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle = (\lambda - \overline{\lambda})\langle x, x \rangle = 2i\beta \langle x, x \rangle = 2i\beta ||x||^2$ Therefore $|2i\beta ||x||^2 |= |\overline{\langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle} - \langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle|$

which implies $2|\beta| ||x||^2 \le 2|\langle (S - \lambda)x, x \rangle| \le 2||(S - \lambda I)x|| ||x||$ If x = 0 then $|\beta| ||x|| = ||(S - \lambda I)x||$ and if $x \ne 0$ then $||(S - \lambda I)x|| \ge |\beta| ||x||$ which implies that $\lambda \in \rho(S)$, a contradiction. Hence $\beta = 0$ and therefore $\sigma(S) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

REFERENCES

- M.R. Abdollahpour and A.Najati, G- frames and Hilbert- Schmidt Operators, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society, Vol.37, no.4, (2011), 141-155.
- [2]. Carlos S. Kubrusly, Spectral theory of operators on Hilbert spaces, Birkhäuser Basel (2012).
- [3]. A. Najati, M.H.Faroughi and A. Rahimi, G-frames and stability of G-frames in Hilbert spaces, Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology, Vol.14, no.3, (2008), 271-286.
- [4]. W. Sun, G- frames and g- Riesz bases, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Vol.322, (2006), 437-452.